

Caution!

Machine generated translation!

THE NLP AND THE CRAZIES. THE DVNLP CORRUPTS ITS METHOD.	1
ANNIVERSARY ACT OF COMMUNICATIVE VIOLENCE IN THE DVNLP: BESIDES PATHOLOGIZING, STIGMATIZING AND CRIMINALIZING, NOW PSYCHIATRIZING?	8
<i>Collateral damage to members sacrificed. The board as perpetrator.</i>	8
<i>Existing evil only "utilised"? The board of directors as accomplices.</i>	12
DOES THE DVNLP DRIVE THE NLP AGAINST THE WALL?	13
<i>The levels of corruption and their fatal consequences</i>	15
Potential consequences of an NLP corrupted in the DVNLP	18
Modified confidence.....	19
Displacement pressure - blind spots and incongruity	20
Concrete risks for clients and participants	22
COMMUNICATIVE FORCEFUL INTO THE FUTURE? "FAILURE TO SUE" OR PROFESSIONAL DIALOGUE?	24
MANIPULATED OFFICIAL PROCEDURES IN THE LKA AND SOCIAL PSYCHIATRIC SERVICE	27
1. <i>Process</i>	27
2. <i>Process</i>	27
3. <i>Process</i>	28
4. <i>Process</i>	30
5. <i>Process</i>	31
<i>However</i>	31
<i>Stigmatisation, (pre-)conviction and bullying</i>	32

NLP and the Crazies. The DVNLP corrupts its Method.

from Thies Stahl, 10/22/2016, update 17/01/20¹

The DVNLP² board of directors, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Conny Lindner, Ilka Voigt, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech, seems to be in need of explanation with regard to the reports about the derailments in his association,³ because he neither

¹ 07.11.2016: Footnotes #1, #2, #7, #12,#16, #17, #21, #23, #24, #40, #41, #42, #43, #46, #51 as well as the chapters "Manipulated official procedures in the LKA and Social Psychiatric Service" and "Stigmatization, (pre-)conviction and mobbing" added; 08.11.2016: The last 5 paragraphs changed; 10.11.2016: Name of the person concerned anonymized; 14.11.2016: Formulations changed in 4th and 5th last paragraph and in footnote #56; 01.04.2018: Bracketed remarks with date inserted; 13.04.2018, 17.04.2018, 16.08.2018: Update links, 20.09.2018: Minor corrections, 30.07.2019: Links corrected, 17.01.2020: Link "Legal facts" new. - On ThiesStahl.de this text and all documents linked to in this text can be found on the website <https://thiesstahl.com/texte-und-materialien-zum-dvnlp/>.

² Acting until the MV on 28.10.2106. Thomas Biniasz, replaced by Ilka Voigt in 2014, is jointly responsible for the wrong decisions I criticized.

³ Violent removal of voting members from the 2014 General Assembly manipulated and deceived by the Board of Directors; unexamined evidence of psychopathological behaviour of a DVNLP teacher trainer, HP psychotherapist and coach diagnosed as "decompensated" and in need of treatment; complaints against this and other DVNLP members in the association "swept under the carpet" about several cases of sexual and power abuse in training and coaching contexts; grossly unethical behaviour in DVNLP-certified seminars not investigated by the DVNLP

comments nor denies them - apart from a short note in his non-public member's area on the internet containing untrue allegations.

Since I left this association in April 2015, I could really care less what its board and members do or do not do. But it's not. For on the one hand, this board has successfully **failed to solve** some of its urgent problems, defamatory and at the expense of my professional reputation, and on the other hand, as the founding board of the DVNLP and its longstanding honorary member, I feel not only generally jointly responsible for the development of NLP, which I introduced in Germany in 1980, but also for how this method worthy of protection is today kept in the DVNLP - an association that was founded on my initiative in 1996 from the DGNLP, which I founded in 1981, and two other German NLP groups⁴.

As a still committed representative of the NLP, I therefore feel it is my duty to point out the current danger of permanent damage to this method in this association, resulting from the absurd events in the DVNLP. This danger corresponds to a special psychological situation of the members of this association working with NLP, which can be⁵ traced back to the "DVNLP cause" and which can have clearly negative consequences for their clients or seminar participants.

In this sense, I would like to understand the following statements as an urgent appeal to the DVNLP members and their association leadership to have the monstrous events in their association permanently clarified and investigated - as a precondition for the NLP to be able to develop well in the DVNLP for the benefit of the people and for nobody to be endangered by an NLP damaged in the DVNLP.

For a better understanding of the following thoughts, to what extent the DVNLP has been corrupting its method for quite some time, a rough knowledge of the historical background and the association-internal events of the "Causa DVNLP" is⁶ necessary.

leadership; suppressed complaints of abuse of authority and power by DVNLP functionaries; prejudgement of a member by the DVNLP leadership, which amounts to public character assassination, and finally nepotism and cronyism within the association. - See my articles "*The Perverse Triangle as a Recursive Pattern in DVNLP*", "*Violence, Abuse, Double Morals and the Return of the Repressed in DVNLP*", "*DVNLP Abandoned by All Good Spirits? predetermined breaking point fascistoid-totalitarian slips and loss of self-control*", "*The NLP and the madmen. The DVNLP corrupts its method*", "*My beautiful delinquent German Association! DVNLP completes perpetrator-victim conversion*", "*Perpetrator Association DVNLP - Silence, Denial and Repression*", "*DVNLP + GNLC hide suspected sex offender*" and "*Psychiatry. Not funny*", as well as "*DVNLP relies on lying managing directors*", "*DVNLP lies. Chronic*" and "*For what crimes is the DVNLP pilloried?*"

⁴ On the history of "organized NLP" in Germany: <https://thiesstahl.com/nlp-assoziationen/>

⁵ Since the DVNLP in an official statement on 26.09.2014 misleadingly and defamatory spoke of a "Causa Thies Stahl und ... (Name of the person concerned)", I rightfully speak of a "Causa DVNLP". It is well documented in *Causa DVNLP - the chronology*.

⁶ Link: *Causa DVNLP - the chronology* (in its level of detail, it is rather intended for a DVNLP investigative committee or the legal disputes).

It is also necessary to know a further, revealing detail of this causa, which will be the subject of this article.

Because new questions have been added to all the urgent ones, about which the DVNLP board has⁷been silent for a long time now. They concern a member, who was pathologized by the executive committee under injury of its member, personality and human rights, was first not heard and made defenseless in the federation contrary to statute, and then was presented to court decisions anticipating, publicly and in absence as implausible false accuser - and until today.

Had the Chairman of the Board of Management Dr. jur. Jens Tomas rightly pointed out several times at the beginning of the "Causa DVNLP" at the beginning of 2014 that the association must not prejudice anyone - referring to a complaint by the complainant against a DVNLP teacher trainer for sexual violence and abuse in a dependent relationship - the DVNLP board of directors finally did just that: Not the indicated instructors, but the complainant was prejudged by the executive committee, completely in the sense of the counter-indication of the DVNLP instructor indicated by her because of unbelievable false accusations. I too was prejudged by the DVNLP leadership, which was involved in this membership conflict and acted in a biased manner, by accusing me - in the same way as the DVNLP teacher trainer who had been denounced brought an action for injunction⁸ against me - that I had "made the complainant's accusations against him my own"⁹.

The complainant, like myself, was disenfranchised and expelled from the association in violation of its statutes. Despite existing membership rights, the board had us forcibly removed from the 2014 general meeting and "thrown out into the street"¹⁰. While this was still happening, the chairman of the board, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, and the senior member of the DVNLP's training and further training commission, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, the former and conflicted trainer of the persons concerned and at the same time close confidant and most important advisor of the chairman, celebrated, together with other conflicting parties, a public (pre-?) conviction of the complainant similar to character assassination in our enforced absence of more than two hours.

The addressees of the suppressed complaints which were concealed from the members of the association, i.e. the DVNLP teacher trainer who was denounced and other members of the association denounced by the person concerned for abuse of

⁷ It remains to be seen whether the newly elected board will continue the silence.

⁸ In all my publications on the "Causa DVNLP" XY mentioned.

⁹ This was in anticipation of a court case against me that was ongoing at the time, which was suspended in the summer of 2016 after a detailed examination of witnesses by the complainant.

¹⁰ Here literally meant: The, according to the statement of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, especially for us engaged five-headed muscle-bound security service has thrown the person concerned so rudely out of the entrance door of the event building that she hit the pavement for a long time.

power and sexual abuse, remained undisturbed during this general meeting and also afterwards. For more than two years now, the association's management has been protecting the alleged perpetrators by preventing the complaints brought against them from being submitted to the association's arbitration commission for referral and by unilaterally granting them privileges. Thus, in June 2014, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas had the complainant excluded from a DVNLP event with the help of a shyster, stigmatising¹¹ and criminalising trick played by a shyster lawyer that pathologised her - unilaterally excluding her as a member of the association and not her conflict partners in the association.

Contrary to the untrue assertion of the board during the 2014 general meeting and afterwards that all criminal accusations of the respective member have proven to be unfounded, the criminal investigations and legal proceedings regarding her complaints and charges against DVNLP instructors, which have been suppressed in the association and kept secret from the public, are by no means finished, but are now - after years of delay¹² - just being taken up¹³.

The reported derailments in the association therefore also affect me. As one of the founding fathers and mothers of the DVNLP, it was my duty to help those who had been prejudiced by the board of directors to obtain their membership and human rights - which I did not do very successfully within the association, because I was expelled from the DVNLP together with the person concerned, illegally and in violation of its statutes.

To the question, according to which criteria the executive committee of the DVNLP decided to resort to means that are rather incompatible with the intellectual tradition of NLP, such as communicative violence, pathologization, stigmatization

¹¹ Dr. jur. Jens Tomas instructed the association's lawyer to grossly falsify a quotation from a letter of the person concerned for the reason for exclusion (details: *causa DVNLP - the chronology*) and had him threaten her that the DVNLP would make use of his domiciliary right if she "contrary to expectations, should she nevertheless want to come and participate". Why did I not advise the person concerned to take legal action against it? At first, I could almost not believe that Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Jens Tomas, together with the rest of the board, had decided on a procedure that in such a terrible way amounts to a mental betrayal of all the basics of the NLP method and at the same time is a betrayal of a former training participant and a member of the association. After all, both of them were not only long-standing colleagues, some of whom were friends, but also functionaries of an association that advocates a method that clearly suggests different ways of acting and values. And above all: I would never have expected that the DVNLP General Assembly would approve such a procedure and be deceived and manipulated by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger in such an absurd way.

¹² Since Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger, in contrast to us, had obviously known the LKA documents since June 2014, they are jointly responsible for this abduction.

¹³ Even for a court case against the complainant (the public prosecutor's office filed charges in the sense of a counter-indictment by the DVNLP instructor she had denounced), there has been no termination for over two years. (16.08.2018: "*For which crimes is the DVNLP in the pillory?*" and *legal facts of the "Causa DVNLP"*)

and ex-communication of members, an answer is still pending. Up to now, the DVNLP leadership has only been able to hear the leitmotif of not endangering the marketing of NLP (which is still profitable for leading representatives of this method) by making reports of sexual violence and abuse of power in the DVNLP public. Apart from the repeated reference to this criterion, which is important for the management of the association, the person concerned and I repeatedly heard the indirect accusation in the months prior to the 2014 meeting of Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas that the police would not investigate the complainant's charges against the DVNLP instructors at all, which could only mean that they would be considered implausible.

How Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Jens Tomas and his board of directors came to the decision to exclude the person concerned and me from the DVNLP in a rather embarrassing way for an association of professional communicators, as described and documented by¹⁴ Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Jens Tomas and his board of directors, and to carry out a calumny-like campaign against a violently disenfranchised and silenced member, Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Jens Tomas could not or did not want to¹⁵ explain publicly. And the DVNLP-members, who were informed by me several times about these internal processes of communicative violence and about the violations of the statutes that went along with them, looked away and spared their management and themselves unpleasant questions.

Perhaps Jens Tomas or Martina Schmidt-Tanger will dare to address this sensitive topic in their speeches on the occasion of the 20th anniversary of the association¹⁶. If

¹⁴ Each date in *DVNLP causa - the chronology* in bold refers to the corresponding record in a document file that will be available to a DVNLP internal investigation or the court.

¹⁵ Since they have so far been able to successfully prevent a committee of inquiry within the association, they have not yet had to explain this to anyone. Similarly, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas has not so far had to explain why he, as chairman of the DVNLP board of directors, did not, in accordance with the statutes, forward documents that had been handed over to him by the complainant as a member of the association for forwarding to the association's arbitration commission to this competent body of the association, but directly to the two members concerned. They then instructed one of his former colleagues in law to immediately obtain a temporary injunction against the complainant for both of them - with the consequence that the complainant was no longer allowed to voice her accusations in the association, not even as a complaint about unethical and abusive behaviour. In this way, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas effectively protected both members of the association, the indicated "NLP-professional" owner, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, and another indicated DVNLP teaching trainer and "NLP-professional" colleague, from the serious allegations of the complainant - and from any economic damage that might result from them. And of course also himself, as "NLP-professional" DVNLP chairman, who as a result of this action no longer had to deal with our complaints of bias. Both DVNLP functionaries, the chairman of the board and the senior member of the education and training commission, are in great need of explanation.

¹⁶ They had no reason to do so, as they were not asked by the members of the association to comment on their wrong decisions, but were appointed honorary members on 29.10.2016.

so, they would also have to explain whether the DVNLP association leadership was aware of an internal LKA note dated 24.01.2014, which states

Note

Because of the new letter from Mrs. ... [DVNLP complainant], I contacted the social-psychiatric service Altona today. There they acknowledged receipt of my letter. The problem has been intensively dealt with. Without a doubt, Mrs... "extremely conspicuous" and probably delusional, as is Mr. Stahl. However, no danger to others or to oneself was seen.

It was therefore decided not to approach Mrs Stahl or Mr Stahl, as this would probably not lead to any improvement. On the contrary, it is feared that the social-psychiatric service will then also be "overwhelmed" with letters. Furthermore, it is possible that Ms. ... will file criminal charges against all persons who do not act in her interest.

They had taken note of the current situation and would use it if the woman's state of health deteriorated to such an extent that treatment was necessary, even against her will.

Further documents submitted by Ms. ... would not be required for the time being.

drawn: Mr B., KOK [= Superintendent of Criminal Investigation], LKA 42

There had been no contact whatsoever on the part of the Altona Social Psychiatric Service, either with the complainant or with me. Three months after writing this note, a meeting initiated by the person concerned and myself took place in the LKA, in which she, her lawyer, the officer responsible in the LKA for the criminal charges filed by her, a police psychologist I had asked for, Dr. R. and I took part. In this meeting the existence of this LKA note was not mentioned with a word! The slanted looks of the psychologist and her question to the affected person, whether she would take medication or had ever considered a stay in a psychiatric hospital, I interpreted at that time simply as a reference, which she found understandable and probably considered necessary, to not be a therapeutically active psychologist colleague of mine, but to attend this meeting in another professional function¹⁷.

At the moment, it is being clarified how the manipulation of the Social Psychiatric Service and/or the LKA could have happened, i.e. how such an act of pathologization, psychiatrization and stigmatization - not in the GDR and not in Nazi Germany, but in our world today - could have come about.

The affected person and I look on in amazement at this communicative-manipulative masterpiece, which the group of perpetrators from which they were able to free

¹⁷ See the annex at the end of this article.

themselves seem to have succeeded¹⁸. At the same time, we are glad to know that such a note exists at all: For almost three years now, he had been haunting the computer systems of the police and the public prosecutor's office, in the background and invisible to us, and we only knew him as a strange and inconceivable cause of strange effects, e.g. strange reasons with which I, as a trainer, was unloaded by DVNLP-related organizers, or also as an indiscernible cause of repeated references to an ominous "police-knowingness" of the persons concerned in the files of charges against us that have meanwhile been filed.

Both Jens Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger were fully informed about the system of perpetrators and violence in the complainant's background. They knew that this system includes people who, in the system of youth welfare, youth and health authorities and in the professorial system of social pedagogues-university education, have the energy, influence and also the know-how to create such an artistic file note that "immunizes itself" in the system of communication with authorities.

This LKA note was used by the lawyer of the above mentioned denounced and "counter denouncing" DVNLP teaching trainer, who has meanwhile been denounced as a paedophile accomplice by the person concerned - probably as an act of desperation in his last statement before the suspension of the proceedings. Martina Schmidt-Tanger had previously, secretly and abusing her office, leaked official association e-mails to this lawyer with the obvious aim of helping his client to successfully sue me for injunctive relief for allegedly making accusations against him by the persons concerned - in line with the identical prejudgement of my person by her "NLP-professional" employee, the DVNLP chairman of the board Dr. jur. Jens Tomas. The goal behind this goal was quite obviously to muzzle me in this way in the association regarding the topic "abuse in NLP and DVNLP". This betrayal of its members by the DVNLP leadership, which was already more than half-criminal, was not successful: The judge in these proceedings of the counter-indicting DVNLP teacher trainer did not allow himself to be put off by this questionable LKA note and suspended the proceedings against me - after he had previously questioned the person concerned as a witness for more than four hours and effectively forced the public prosecutor's office, by forwarding the corresponding minutes of the interrogation, to finally investigate, after many years, the numerous charges against several perpetrators from their three and a half decades of the worst experiences of violence.

¹⁸ However, in their comprehensive, detailed and shocking autobiographical reports, there are many indications that these perpetrators belong to a paedocriminal system that is well networked right down to state and official bodies.

Anniversary Act of Communicative Violence in the DVNLP: Besides Pathologizing, Stigmatizing and Criminalizing,¹⁹ Now Psychiatrizing?

If Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Jens Tomas and their board of directors were to come, you would be²⁰ confronted with the DVNLP's "anniversary file" before the general meeting or a DVNLP investigative committee, not to mention answer embarrassing questions. This applies both in the case that they knew the dubious LKA note and in the case that they can or want to claim that they did not know of its existence and also had no knowledge of the fact that this "official document" was manipulated in the interaction between the social psychiatric service and the LKA with the worst consequences for the person concerned and me.

Collateral damage to members sacrificed. The board as perpetrator.

If Martina Schmidt-Tanger and the Board want to claim that they did not know about this LKA note, they will have to explain what the public prosecutor's office replied to the association's attorney when the latter asked the association's attorney on 28 April 2014 whether corresponding criminal proceedings had been initiated with regard to the accusations made by the complainant in the association. They were also supposed to make transparent whether the public prosecutor's office had responded to the offer made to it by the DVNLP in this letter to make available to it the *statements made so far by the persons concerned and me "for the purposes of criminal law assessment, since the accusations made from the point of view of the association are more than substantial"* and, in addition, with what information from the LKA and the public prosecutor's office to the DVNLP this legal correspondence ended.

Dr. jur. Jens Tomas as chairman of the board should also answer the question whether he had his association's attorney ask the public prosecutor's office why the house searches of DVNLP members and other persons, which had been suggested and urgently requested by the person concerned, had not been carried out, as Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, his board of directors and Martina Schmidt-Tanger not only informed about these applications and the fact that they remained unanswered by the LKA for many months, but also about the fact that the police might have found commercially used violent and child pornographic material during these house searches according to the detailed information of the persons concerned.

Dr. jur. Jens Tomas was involved in the corresponding correspondence with the LKA. In the summer of 2014, he and Martina Schmidt-Tanger, who as a member of the DVNLP's training commission was also the coaching trainer of those affected, repeatedly made it very clear to her and me that rape and sexual abuse of children were, after all, a capital crime and that the police and public prosecutor's office would investigate immediately - in connection with the fact that they repeatedly

¹⁹ See footnote #11 and #34.

²⁰ By "Jubilee File" are meant my texts (soon to fill a file) about the Violence File in the DVNLP.

"reproached" us that the police would do nothing. As if they refused to understand that this failure to act on the part of the authorities, as a diffusely persistent threat alongside anonymous death threats from the group of perpetrators, was precisely what was most troubling us in these months before the 2014 general meeting - which from today's perspective I can only understand under the assumption that both of them were already familiar with the LKA memo at the time²¹.

The most difficult task will probably be for Jens Tomas, Martina Schmidt-Tanger and the rest of the association management to explain to the DVNLP members how, if they do not want to have known the LKA note, they have decided to prejudge the complainant, a member of the association who is actually to be protected by them, in presumptuous police and public prosecutor's powers, to declare her untrustworthy and quasi mad and to commit an insidious character assassination in the MA. Did they follow a cold, economic-political calculation or did they just do it for the fun of it? Similarly presumptuous perhaps, as the pubescent, arrogant, pathologizing statement of the DVNLP board of directors in its official statement to the SPIEGEL, *"among the members of the board there is no explanation for Mr. Stahl's actions. However, it is becoming increasingly clear that Mr. Stahl is suffering from disorders."* It is also said that since Thies Stahl, as founding member of the board and honorary member for many years, would be one of the outstanding personalities of the NLP, the board is *"all the more shocked by the depth to which Mr. Stahl has gone to save the honour of his partner"*. Of course, the board had forgotten to mention that it had blocked all our applications to the arbitration commission and the general meeting in violation of the statutes and prevented talks with the complainant and me by all means - in other words, that my rescue attempts were urgently necessary in view of his wrong decisions and his monstrous attacks on her honour and dignity.

Equally in need of explanation in this context is the statement of the board of directors to the respective SPIEGEL editor, *"Mr. Stahl does not state the reason for his accusations"*. Confronted with the question, the board of directors will perhaps state that they have completely forgotten to mention my suppressed requests to the arbitration commission and the general meeting, as well as my critical DVNLP-texts, which keep the lawyers of the association busy. He would also not have thought of my preliminary work made available to the association for theoretically well-founded improvements in the association's internal handling of abuse complaints and for a new version of the ethics guidelines at this moment.

In the case that Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and the board of directors did not know about the LKA memorandum, the DVNLP association leadership proves to have been "single-handedly" responsible for the psychiatric and reputation-murdering activities of the DVNLP, i.e. to have acted psychiatrically and

²¹ Which has now become active, since the association's lawyer was granted access to the files in June 2014. Jens and Martina left us in the dark about the result (see appendix) - which is mean, since Dr. jur. Jens Tomas in cc was involved in our inquiries to the LKA, why not finally investigate.

reputation-murdering on their own initiative and without the support of a manipulated official file, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his board of directors will perhaps argue as Martina Schmidt-Tanger did several times before our exclusion from the association: In order to keep the image of NLP clean, one had to make sacrifices. The complainant should rather refrain from asking me to help her in the association, since the danger would be far too great that I, her former co-trainer colleague in a high-priced, well-attended "NLP-professional" coaching training course, would be exposed to these "dark themes" in a way that would be damaging to her business. Whatever kind of naive, clean son-in-law image she may have had in mind for the NLP, the person concerned and I could not have guessed at that time what sacrifices Martina Schmidt-Tanger and the DVNLP executive board would be prepared to make us and the association pay for this dangerously false image.²²

In the case of their real or alleged non-information regarding the manipulation of the Social Psychiatric Service and/or the LKA, Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Jens Tomas can be expected to point out the following:

- If they, as the leading officials of this professional communicators' association, had known of the existence of such a stigmatizing and clearly manipulated-looking LKA memo, it would have been their immediate priority to communicate with the two association members concerned about such a potentially devastating attack on their existence. And this not only because they have known these members for a long time as training participants and friendly colleagues, but of course also because it would be part of their tasks and duties as functionaries of the association to be contact persons for association members whose rights are threatened in the context of their DVNLP membership, i.e. in the context of their professional activities as DVNLP teaching trainers or their participation in DVNLP seminars. After all, the DVNLP board of directors knew about our repeated requests and inquiries to the LKA, that it should finally start the investigations regarding the reports of the affected persons, since the corresponding mails were sent to the LKA as well as to the DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and the lawyers involved. So on June 4, 2014 I wrote to the LKA and the DVNLP board of directors, "*...I would like to inform you only briefly, Mr. Z. [responsible clerk in the LKA], that Frau ... and I are slowly losing my nerves. We are now openly discredited by the board of our professional association, the DVNLP e.V: Since the police would not take any action for months, it would have to be assumed that they would consider Frau ... to be untrustworthy. After all, the police have to react quickly to crimes of this kind. The DVNLP board of directors pathologizes woman ... publicly, which is an extreme burden for her and gives us another legal front completely unnecessarily. Please tell Mrs. ... finally how it will continue*" and on June 16, 2014, "*since the DVNLP executive committee ... publicly discredits Mrs. ..., doubts*

²² The justification for this in my article "*Violence, abuse, double standards and the return of the repressed in the DVNLP*".

her credibility, takes over positions of the members she has denounced and excludes Mrs. ... pathologizing and damaging her reputation from events and clarification talks, I urgently ask for a statement of the LKA. The LKA should declare that the fact that Ms. ... has not yet been questioned is in no case to be equated with a public statement by the LKA that she is not credible. Therefore, it would not be up to anyone, not even the DVNLP board of directors, to equate the fact of not yet being questioned with a lack of credibility in the arrogance of police, public prosecutor and court expert opinions.

- Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Jens Tomas would also probably point out that as DVNLP functionaries they would of course never have let members of their association be unaware of the existence of such an obviously manipulated official threat to their lives if they had been informed about it. And certainly they would not have used such an insider knowledge, which would potentially make them accomplices, as a secret lever that would make all communication unnecessary, in order to exclude unpopular members of the association from the association in disregard of its statutes.
- Furthermore, they would probably also point out that in such a case it would have been self-evident that the chairman of the Dr. jur. board would have instructed his lawyer to inform these members that the very existence of such a highly toxic, since unspecific and "viral" note of the LKA, which has a viral effect in the system of communication with authorities, suggests a complaint of supervision, not to mention the fact that it should have been clarified how this internal note of the authorities could have unilaterally got into the hands of the DVNLP members and presumed perpetrators.
- Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Jens Tomas would of course declare that, against the background of their knowledge about the e-mails to the LKA and about the system of the perpetrators in the life background of the persons concerned, they had never so completely sided with the DVNLP members who had been denounced.
- In the aforementioned case, the Board would also probably vehemently point out that Martina Schmidt-Tanger, when she secretly sent internal association e-mails to the suspected perpetrators in September 2014 for use in court against us, was not acting as an officer of the association, i.e. had not acted as the senior member of the DVNLP's training and further education commission who had just been called in this membership dispute, but exclusively as a private person - and of course without the knowledge and approval of her confidant and "NLP-professional" colleague, the chairman of the board Dr. jur. Jens Tomas.
- And finally, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas would probably also refer indignantly to the fact that it would have struck him as a lawyer that this LKA note is to be equated with an illegal police pre-conviction in any case and that it also contains neither information about the data on the basis of which the Social Psychiatric Service Altona has made its psychiatric and personality rights-infringing statement, nor

about whether and if so, how its validity has been checked or how the LKA internal or prosecutorial should proceed with it²³.

Existing evil only "utilised"? The board of directors as accomplices.

If Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Jens Tomas and their board of directors wanted or had to decide to state that they knew of the existence of the LKA note, they would have to explain to the members of the association why the association did not instruct their lawyers, who had been unilaterally appointed against the person concerned and me²⁴, to investigate at the LKA and the public prosecutor's office, on which data basis the Social Psychiatric Service claims to have arrived at its assessment submitted to the LKA and how the validity of this note was checked in the LKA. After all, two members of the association were psychiatrised and, since they are paid by the association, would it not have been the task of the association lawyers to protect the two association members from this kind of encroaching-stigmatising incompetence and negligence in the LKA and obvious manipulation of the authorities?

Dr. jur. Jens Tomas in particular should be asked why he and the lawyer of the association did not make their legal expertise available to the two members of the association - and to the association itself, e.g. that an LKA note judging people in a questionable way is not a legally binding court judgement, and also that the persons concerned would have been well advised in such a case if they had applied for file inspection via their own lawyers in order to investigate the origin and the effects of such a dubious file note in the investigating authorities.

Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Jens Tomas would then be in the precarious position of having to justify to the members of the association, the press and us, with the help of which criteria they, as chief communicators of a communications association and as psychologically and legally well-trained functionaries of this association who are committed to the well-being of its members, decided not to have approached the two association members affected by this attack on their integrity and not to have sought to talk to them about this arbitrary attack on their personal rights by the authorities. They would have to try to prove that it was not their own association-related and private-economic interest as "NLP professionals" that was the motive for seizing the opportunity and disposing of two uncomfortable members of the association who had been unsuspectingly handed over to such a poisoning and energy-sapping official stigmatization act. And Dr. jur. Jens Tomas will probably be asked, in the case of his knowledge of the LKA memorandum, whether he has used

²³ After the inspection of the files by the association's lawyer in June 2014, the lawyer Dr. jur. Jens Tomas knew that "no legally usable psychiatric report is available". And the psychologist Martina Schmidt-Tanger knew that the questionable hypothesis of a psychologist colleague, which is not based on any actual encounter, can in no case be a valid diagnosis. This knowledge of such serious errors within the LKA was not shared with us by the association officials Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger. (01.04.2018: see the "*dossier on victim-offender conversion*")

²⁴ He did, but the board decided to secretly use the results against us.

his legal knowledge concerning the processes and procedures in investigating authorities in order to quietly and elegantly get rid of two critics of his tabooing association policy.²⁵

The DVNLP leadership would therefore have to counter the suspicion that it has been a free rider in a questionable, table-like and obviously manipulated police (pre-)conviction on the back of two association members and has unreasonably pursued association and even more unreasonably private economic interests. She would have to face the accusation of having aggravated the damage and threat situation of those affected, which was already precarious due to innumerable crimes and attacks from their perpetrator system background, which Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Jens Tomas are well aware of, in the worst possible way - by using malignant manipulation by the authorities and by an illegal and, above all, dishonouring exclusion from the association.

The DVNLP would thus have put two of its members - without their knowledge and possibility of correction - in a situation in which in all police stations, reports that they had made as aggrieved parties were forwarded with a friendly smile and unnoticed by them, directly into the wastepaper basket of the public prosecutor's office, and above all also those that they might have wanted to make. The DVNLP executive committee would thus have put itself in danger of appearing as a background manipulator (of the "NLP manipulators" association), supporting a manipulative masterpiece of perpetrators with whom it secretly collaborates, which makes two of its members inside and outside the association completely without rights - and thus easier to dispose of within the association.

Does the DVNLP drive the NLP against the wall?

Has NLP, this versatile communication model and at the same time effective therapeutic method, been damaged by the recent development in DVNLP? Against the background of what has become public about the derailments in this association, this question must probably be answered in the affirmative, at least in terms of damage to its image. The accusations raised against leading functionaries of this association weigh heavily, and it also weighs heavily that the DVNLP executive committee has so far refrained from any, in my opinion, serious attempt of clarification or correction towards its members and the public.

And since the criticism of the wrong decisions, errors of thought and posture as well as the loss of values in the DVNLP comes from the most senior German representative of the NLP, of all people, who introduced this method in Germany and contributed to its (albeit modest) academic salonability, and the association just with it avoids any discussion and intellectual debate like the devil avoids holy water,

²⁵ On the subject of "Tabooing in NLP" see also *"Violence, Abuse, Double Morals and the Return of the Repressed in the DVNLP"*.

one must probably say, to put it casually, that this association is just about to drive its method against the wall.

Even if the association should succeed in taking legal²⁶ action against my critical texts on the DVNLP, so that as few people as possible learn about the monstrous events in this association, the actual damage has already been caused by the exclusion of the affected people and myself and, since the 2014 general meeting, is already growing as a seed. And this not only with regard to an increase in the danger of the "return of the repressed" as a ²⁷tabooing dynamic that works across NLP generations, but directly in the current daily practice of DVNLP members who are still loyal to their association, even if under the energy expenditure of fading out and repressing. This damage can only be stopped by a real change in the DVNLP, which corrects the questionable prioritization of the marketing of the NLP at the expense of its values lived in the association and by its members.

This is not supposed to be about the possible still existing endangerment of clients and participants by the reported DVNLP-members, against whom serious complaints have been raised and suppressed in the association, i.e. not about whether some of the reported DVNLP-teachers continue with their allegedly more than unethical behaviour, because the DVNLP has denied them all learning opportunities through its cover-up policy and they still might find violence sexy²⁸.

What is meant is a potential damage in the depths of the psyche and souls of the participants involved in the "Causa DVNLP", i.e. the members of the association, who were informed by me several times and in detail about the derailments in their association in about 1700. It will most likely manifest itself as a threat to the interests and psychological integrity of present and future seminar participants and coaching clients of DVNLP members, which at present is probably still hidden for all involved in the blind spot of the "DVNLP cause" and behind the NLP high gloss brochures, self-portrayals and promises of salvation of DVNLP members.

This danger corresponds with the fact that the majority of the informed DVNLP members decided to look away and not to engage themselves for a preservation of the values in their association, which are fundamental for NLP, as a humanistic and communication-theoretically highly developed method, and which in the course of the "DVNLP case" were not only violated but also trampled underfoot. To put it differently, but in the end probably equivalently: The DVNLP leadership has demanded a high level of repression from its members to this day by denying the

²⁶ An overview of the DVNLP's strange and "dirty" lawsuits against me can be found at the end of this article.

²⁷ See my article "*Violence, abuse, double standards and the return of the repressed in the DVNLP*".

²⁸ This choice of words is explained by the texts "*Background of the abuse complaints*" and "*Violence, abuse, double standards and the return of the repressed in the DVNLP*".

monstrosities for which it is responsible and by remaining silent for more than two years about the accusations made against it. And the DVNLP members cooperate, also in silence, with their leadership: they do not question their hardened "We-pull-this-through" attitude and ignorant, unconcerned "We-step-into-the-future" policy, and completely renounce an open and courageous discussion with their board of directors regarding the criticism of their actions, which they certainly perceive clearly. All in all, the DVNLP is thus probably in a situation that should be familiar to us all, with its ever-increasing blind spots among all those involved, from the dark German past.

The levels of corruption and their fatal consequences

The DVNLP probably did not drive the NLP completely against the wall. There are other NLP associations, including international ones, which for their part try to preserve the humanistic core and perhaps also the basic communication theoretical MRI spirit of²⁹ this method when it is passed on. And above all, without being part of an "organized NLP", there are many people who pass on the good of this method and its underlying spiritual, humanistic and communication theory tradition in their therapies, coaching and training - sometimes dialectically suspended in a larger, integrative approach³⁰.

But in view of the events documented in the chronology of the "Causa DVNLP" and the extreme violation of its fundamental principles and values, one cannot help but see that the NLP has been corrupted - at least by the unprofessional actions of³¹ its executive board, which was clearly overstretched in the "Causa DVNLP", if you, like me, have got to know NLP as the spiritual heritage of the communication and system researchers of the Palo Alto Group in its preform at Virginia Satir personally and then with the NLP founders in their time of departure in California and then have understood and developed it further over the decades through your own contributions.

The fear of a corrupted NLP in the DVNLP is expressed through different levels of consideration, e.g. on the level

1. of the closer management circle of the DVNLP, consisting of the "NLP-professional" trainer and DVNLP chairman of the board, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, and the senior member of the DVNLP's education and training commission, the "NLP-professional" owner Martina Schmidt-Tanger, who may have let themselves be corrupted by the private sector's "NLP-professional" interest, which they apparently gave top priority to, far from protecting the integrity of her "NLP professional" training participant, the excluded complainant, whose

²⁹ For "Mental Research Institute" (MRI), Palo Alto, see Wikipedia (German or English).

³⁰ As I do, for example, in my approaches, see ThiesStahl.de

³¹ See my article *"The perverse triangle as a recursive pattern in DVNLP"*.

complaints, which were suppressed within the association, explicitly also dealt with the extremely biased behaviour of these two "NLP professional" DVNLP association officials. The hidden collaboration of the "NLP-professional" owner and DVNLP association functionary Martina Schmidt-Tanger with a denounced DVNLP member and other suspected perpetrators corrupts the NLP by absurdly confirming its partly already enough ruined reputation as a method of semi-dark and dangerous manipulation,

2. of the DVNLP executive committee, which corrupts the NLP in any case, if it continues to close its mind to the necessity to have the known actual ethical and presumably criminally prosecuted lapses of some DVNLP instructors and the dutiful disloyalty of important association functionaries investigated by a conscientiously working committee which is independent of the executive committee. This refusal has already had a highly corrupting influence on the many DVNLP members for two years, who were informed about the monstrosities in their association, but who are not interested in the politics of the association and who have often even internally resigned from the association³²,
3. the management of the association as a whole, including the board of trustees of the association, which has apparently allowed itself to be corrupted by an NLP marketing interest which is important in the DVNLP but unfortunately gives it too one-sided an identity by giving it the primacy both over the protection of member and human rights and, through its approval of internal association acts of formal legal and impoverished communicative violence, over the protection and preservation of communication possibilities which promote learning and above all human communication,
4. of the DVNLP's committees, which corrupt the NLP if the committee members in their function as role models for members of the association - uncorrected or unrepented of - do not have the real willingness, the necessary skills and the necessary neutrality to be able to fulfil their office. They counteract the association's efforts to bring NLP in the best possible form to the world when its committee members fail to meet basic NLP requirements that are necessary when they are dealing with several conflicting association members in their office, e.g. when they are able to take up and keep the report to both sides, when it comes to requests for certificates and teaching qualifications³³ or when

³² This applies to several DVNLP members I have spoken to. I will not mention their names here, as my need for injunctive relief and legal disputes is currently covered thanks to the tireless efforts of DVNLP lawyers.

³³ The senior member of the DVNLP's training commission, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, and the other commission members have applied extreme double standards in questions of the right to teach and the handling of false certificates. Thus, the DVNLP teacher trainer who was reported to the police, with whom Martina Schmidt-Tanger secretly formed a coalition in court in a dirty and abusive manner, was confirmed in office and dignity on the basis of precisely the false certification he knowingly assumed to be such, which was later given as the reason for the

they are called upon to act as mediators.³⁴ In both cases, and also in the case of the "board of directors", communicative basic and leadership skills should be present to avoid identification and "perverse triangles" - as a precondition for justice and equal treatment in the exercise of office. The above also applies to the spokespersons of the "Expert Group on Mediation", Anita von Hertel, and the "Regional Group Hamburg/Schleswig-Holstein", Petra P.. Their "out-of-office" nature³⁵ also corrupts the method of their association, also because of its dubious role model function for the model learning members of the association,

5. of DVNLP members who corrupt their method if they continue to train their participants in NLP on behalf of the DVNLP or use this method as DVNLP certified therapists or coaches without demanding education and a thorough investigation in their association This is likely to be the case at least if they have allowed themselves to be corrupted by their private economic interests, in the form of a perceived³⁶ economic dependence on DVNLP certification, which

exclusion of the person concerned from the association - and which she claims had come about under duress, coercion and the use of extreme violence. About the same DVNLP instructor and me: A deliberately too generously issued supervision hour certificate is a trivial offence for the accepting member of the association and a capital offence for the issuing one, which is punished with exclusion from the association.

³⁴ The DVNLP's "Arbitration Commission" has been corrupting the NLP since the 2014-MV, when one of the two commissioners at the time, Barbara Knuth, refused to communicate with the only other commissioner, Henrik Andresen, via two motions submitted to them several times. Instead of fulfilling her office and acting as a mediator between the members of the Union concerned, she took sides with the conflicting parties and excommunicated them, refraining from any communication with the other side. She did not "fall out of office" simply because she knew too little of the association's statutes to be able to take up her office at all. (Henrik Andresen was criminalized by his board as my "accomplice" for filling his office. Dr. jur. Jens Tomas in a daring affidavit before the Berlin Regional Court on 12.12.2014: "*Thies Stahl and the Chairman of the Arbitration Commission, Mr. Hendrik Andresen, have tried to bring about a favourable decision. The Chairman agreed to this and made a sole decision without involving the second member still in office. This friendly service met with complete incomprehension from the members at the general meeting*").

³⁵ The one fell out of office when she interfered where she, highly involved in the conflict, would have been better off not speaking out publicly, the other when she did not interfere where her office as a lawyer and "DVNLP expert for mediation" would actually have made it necessary to interfere, namely as two members of the association under the use of brute force, which was not only denied the mediative, but even the legal minimal communication of being heard. The corrupting effect of the misconduct of the DVNLP functionaries mentioned here by name is that they are unfavorable models for the other, often less experienced DVNLP members, especially with regard to the essentials of each "office", e.g. also the office of an NLP coach, therapist and trainer: What makes the office sacred is not being or becoming involved in the relationships, affairs and business of those to whom the own office refers. The "Causa DVNLP" as a whole shows how profoundly the offices in the DVNLP are corrupted - and thus also NLP as the method advocated by this association.

³⁶ Some DVNLP members confessed to me that they were afraid their seminar customers would stay away if they could not offer them DVNLP sealed NLP certificates. I can confirm the reality of their fears, because when I could no longer certify my seminars with DVNLP after leaving the

cannot be resolved - for two years already and will continue to do so. Their silence, which makes them accomplices in the association, could put these DVNLP members in a situation towards their clients and customers, in which they, as DVNLP members identified by business card or website, cannot be sure whether they are compromisingly associated by individual clients or course participants with the machinations in their association. Such fears and insecurities, caused by their still existing loyalty to their association, could put the DVNLP members concerned in a difficult position towards their clients and participants, as the loyalty conflicts that might result from it could severely disrupt the report to their respective clients and participants and thus drastically limit the possibilities of therapeutic or educational cooperation with them.

One might be inclined to believe that the reported monstrosities in DVNLP have caused only a small image damage for NLP, which is rather to be expected for some DVNLP providers, where perhaps some NLP trainings and coaching are now less in demand.

But if these three levels are considered together, the "DVNLP cause" brings into view possible cases of damage to future seminar participants and clients of DVNLP members, the occurrence of which the DVNLP can probably only prevent by a comprehensive clarification of the events in the association that constitute the "DVNLP cause".

Potential consequences of an NLP corrupted in the DVNLP

I will precede a brief consideration of the possible consequences of these three theses:

- 1. The best method is corrupted if the clients do not really trust the user of this method because of his possibly questionable social affiliations.*
- 2. A method is corrupted if its user has to fear certain questions from his clients and participants which, even if they are not (yet) asked, awaken taboo fears and activate suppressed loyalty conflicts in him - and he, because he cannot really deal with these more or less subliminally expected questions, becomes incongruent in his contact with his clients.*
- 3. All methods are corrupted in their application in the case of thematic affinity: If a client comes into coaching with concerns and conflicts which, in the structure of their constituent elements and relationships in the social system concerned, are similar to those which also arose in the life of the user concerned from their own unresolved loyalty conflicts, the user will lose effectiveness and creative power, despite all the professional competence otherwise available. It does not matter whether the loyalty entanglements in question result from identity-forming*

association (DVNLP certificates are the quasi-standard in the field, which I helped to develop significantly), I suddenly had an economically disastrous registration slump after decades of well-attended NLP seminars. Only now, after two years of biggest economic losses, I have fortunately enough registrations for my new *DVNLP-free trainings* again.

affiliations to one's own family system or to the social system of one's own professional affiliation - or even, mutually reinforcing, from both worlds of relationship.

From these theses some considerations arise for the mental situation of DVNLP members under the present conditions of the "DVNLP cause", which has neither been clarified nor worked through in their association.

Modified confidence

DVNLP teaching trainers and coaches know that probably most of their participants and clients trust them among other things because they refer to DVNLP as their quasi-professional association. They feel that they belong to this association and are loyal to its management, which they make known on their website or business card.

As NLP users, they and the DVNLP have made themselves mutually dependent on each other in mutual trust: NLP users entrust their professional reputation to their association, and the association trusts NLP users to adequately represent their association through high-quality and "ethically clean" NLP, as documented by the authorization granted to them to affix DVNLP seals to their NLP certificates.

The trust relationship between NLP users and DVNLP is actually a trust triangle, because it is by its very nature completely focused on the actual and potential participants and clients of the users. In this triangle the association is an important foundation for the professional well-being and woe of the NLP-using DVNLP member. If his trust in the association would become fragile because he or his customers, more or less consciously, have reservations or objections to his association, the all-round mutual security that this trust triangle is actually intended to create would be jeopardised.

If the coaching client or the training participant does not give the DVNLP any more credit, because he/she experiences new absurdities after all the aberrations known so far³⁷, the trustworthiness of the individual DVNLP member towards his/her clients and seminar participants is also at stake.

³⁷ Thus, the DVNLP can no longer guarantee the validity of DVNLP certificates, because it leaves it to the arbitrariness of its instructors and teaching trainers to publicly declare their DVNLP-sealed certificates invalid at any time and even years after they have been issued. This is what happened through the DVNLP teaching trainer Stephan Landsiedel: After the complainant, who was (pre-?) convicted in the DVNLP, was sentenced in the course of her comprehensive testimony before the Hamburg Regional Court, among others had reported on certain unpleasant events in her Landsiedel training, Stephan Landsiedel let the court know about the secret cooperation partner of the DVNLP functionary Martina Schmidt-Tanger, the lawyer of the Landsiedel-certified DVNLP instructor who was reported as an accomplice, inform that this witness had received the DVNLP trainer certificate issued by him five years earlier only due to an "office oversight" - approximately at the same time as the unsuccessful attempt of this lawyer to declare the witness crazy to the judge with the help of the questionable LKA note. (When one of the Landsiedel assistants in the DVNLP at that time applied for the exclusion of the persons concerned, and also when the board talked to Stephan Landsiedel in 2014 about her complaints against him, there

And does the NLP user - even if only secretly and unspoken - withdraw the confidence from his association to be able to represent his own trustworthiness to his clients and participants in an appropriate way, that his clients and participants do not notice this. For if he is identified by them with an association with which he himself has increasing difficulty in identifying, he cannot prevent himself from becoming incongruent as a result of the resulting inner conflicts of faith and loyalty - which weakens him in the presence and flexibility necessary for his work with his clients and participants.

Displacement pressure - blind spots and incongruity

Now many DVNLP members have been in a difficult situation for a good two years: They have decided to believe the one-sided and defamatory representations of their association's leadership containing false allegations and lies with regard to two excommunicated association members and, since the exclusion in both cases, including that of the founding board and honorary member, happened in a non-transparent and incomprehensible, and above all in a highly unworthy manner, they find themselves in a more or less conscious conflict of loyalty, which in any case must be continuously suppressed by them, since it has not been resolved or carried out.

This conflict of being loyal either to the excluded or to the excluded is not solvable by the members of an association that is tabooing such an exclusion and the issues underlying it - at least not without throwing themselves into a conflict with their association that threatens their existence. And, of course, it is updated each time and must be repelled and suppressed whenever a participant or client asks questions about these exclusions or expresses an interest in the content of the conflicts that preceded and underlie these exclusions within the association. And this conflict of loyalties naturally becomes virulent every time the DVNLP member has to fear that his counterpart, who is informed via the Internet or the press, would like to ask questions, but does not do so out of politeness or, worse still, out of a fear of authority or loss.

Since these fundamental topics are taboo in his association because of the "DVNLP case" that has not been mastered and are embedded³⁸ in a tradition of tabooing, i.e. they are not communicable in this association of communicators and are banned from any discourse, a DVNLP member who uses NLP stands quite alone if he or she is, for example, not able to communicate. B. has to fear being asked by his seminar participants and clients whether the accusations made against the association, which are well documented and made public, are true that he has fundamentally betrayed the implicit ethics of NLP and its tradition. In the end, such a question is equivalent

was no question of her 2011 certificate being accidentally issued. Otherwise, "unjustified use" of the title "coach, DVNLP" would certainly have been at the top of the list of advanced grounds for exclusion against them).

³⁸ See again *"Violence, abuse, double standards and the return of the repressed in the DVNLP"*.

to the question whether the association is still legitimate to monitor the ethical application of NLP by its members, or whether it is even allowed to judge it.³⁹

The DVNLP member who trains in NLP could also be asked the question, which probably causes him some distress, whether he would not find it more appropriate in the given situation not to issue his NLP certificates in the name of the DVNLP at the moment, since otherwise he would be involved in the fact that the accusations hidden from the public would continue to be swept under the carpet in the association and covered with the "DVNLP seal of secrecy". If he or she is unlucky, perhaps the most critical question could even be whether he or she is one of those DVNLP teacher trainers against whom serious abuse allegations are made and covered up in the association.

In the present situation, i.e. as long as the DVNLP leadership is still closed in its "Silence Retreat", it will cost many of the NLPers organized in the DVNLP a lot of strength and energy to deal with such actually asked or only feared questions in contact with their clients and participants. And this will probably also be noticeable in a decrease of their performance as NLP users - at least probably as a loss of competence in the form of a loss of flexibility or of courage and confidence to address taboos of their clients or to be able to provoke them appropriately. There is also a high risk that these DVNLP members will simultaneously project their own anxieties, insecurities and lack of moral courage onto their clients if they come to them for coaching or therapy with similar issues and sensitivities.

This will be all the more the case, the more the NLP user organized in the DVNLP is subliminally afraid of being excluded⁴⁰, if he should dare to ask critical questions about the hushed up exclusion of the disgraced excluded. After all, this even happened to the association's founder when he wanted to help a member who was critical of the association's management and to protect her from being expelled in violation of the statutes and illegally.

Such a basic fear or diffuse subliminal fear of DVNLP members is - spoken against the background of my own experience - not unjustified. Finally, the "DVNLP case" has shown that, in the event of conflicts between members of the association, they are not necessarily safe under the current board of directors, which is deeply involved in this case: They have to reckon with being excluded in an extremely artistic way, in violation of the law and the statutes, but formally and legally extremely skilful, and

³⁹ My proposals for a revision of the DVNLP's ethics guidelines, for dealing with the topic "Intimate Relationships and Abuse in Power-Asymmetrical Relationships", as well as for an improved internal association handling of abuse complaints can be found in the "MV motions suppressed and concealed in the DVNLP: *"1st suppressed motion (steel) for the DVNLP General Assembly 2014"*, *2nd MV motion steel*, *MV motions steel #3 to #5* and *MV motions of six other association members*.

⁴⁰ This will also be the case now under the new board, if the policy of silence and suppression of the old one continues.

with losing their membership of the association community, on which many members are economically dependent. And this, after their conflicting party-members have been unilaterally supported by the board of directors and they themselves, as one of the conflicting parties, have been betrayed by the association's leadership in an underhanded and secret manner - both within the association and outside, e.g. by secretly and dirtily supporting the passing on of confidential internal information to conflicting party-members in legal proceedings by influential officials of their association. They should also be prepared for a defamatory disclosure of internal association information by a biased board of directors to investigating authorities or representatives of the press, which violates their personal rights⁴¹ - without them being involved as members in such a potentially momentous decision or even becoming aware of it.

Concrete risks for clients and participants

A concrete endangerment of the mental integrity of clients and participants of DVNLP members, at least a limitation of the effectiveness of their coaching or therapy sessions, is to be expected especially in case of a contextual overlap of the client's concern with the "virtual" concern of the coaching or therapy DVNLP member. By the latter is meant the concern which this member would have as a client if he wanted to deal therapeutically with the effects of the conflict of loyalties which his professional association has imposed on him with the "DVNLP case" - including all overlaps with the other, still unresolved issues in his life and the possibly still unresolved conflicts of faith regarding his own identity.

Such contextual overlaps of concerns, as unconsciously occurring resonances of similar or same structures of situations or relationship systems, can occur in a variety of concerns with which clients come to a DVNLP member for coaching or therapy. How manifold the possibilities of such resonances are, becomes clear when one considers the fact that these "virtual" concerns of DVNLP members naturally include the concerns of those who are excluded and marginalized in their association, just as they might formulate them as shamed or oppressed members of the association to a coach or therapist in case they decide to process their experiences as perpetrators and victims in DVNLP with professional help.

This means that almost all common topics with which clients come into coaching or therapy can be fatally suitable to turn the unresolved situation in the background of the professional affiliation system of DVNLP members into a maximally corrupting influence on the quality of their change work with these clients - no matter if it takes place in a demonstration session in front of a training group or in a coaching or therapy session of the DVNLP member concerned. To be enumerated here are all possible cases and types of abuse of power in all possible organizational, corporate and private system contexts and in all types of hierarchical or equal relationships,

⁴¹ And also of being declared mad by an association management thinking and acting on the regulars' table level in cooperation with authorities also active on the lowest level of competence with bad consequences.

connected with sexual violence or not.⁴² Also all cases and kinds of mobbing must be added, and also just those, in which in an organizational context the management level intensifies an existing mobbing or even takes it over as a matter for the boss and even brings it to an end itself, with an exclusion from the organization⁴³. In addition, there are generally all cases of exclusion and excommunication in families, companies or organisations, especially in connection with dissenting or "heretical" opinions.

Obstructive contextual overlaps are also to be expected in all topics that deal with questions of civil courage and with feeling called upon to act in the face of abused power towards fellow human beings and possibly being corrupted by material or other advantages. Also concerns about collegial and/or friendly betrayal in connection with the exercise of corrupted power can bring the DVNLP coach or therapist into the mentioned psychological predicament - in the end everything that clients can suddenly and unexpectedly make the subject of their sessions.

It follows from all this that a DVNLP member can currently be kicked out of his or her professional competence at any moment of interaction with his or her clients, mediated by one of the many possible contextual overlaps with the issues repressed in his or her professional background. For almost everything that their clients discuss in a session with them can become a catalyst for DVNLP members, as long as the conflicts in their association are tabooed with their help, that the unresolved events and wrong decisions in their professional association become its method, the very NLP they practice daily as loyal silent members, is so lastingly corrupted that they are suddenly biased due to their possibly activated loyalty conflicts and could lose their professional competence from now on, at least until they have the courage to face their own conflicts and their association leadership.

Structurally, the DVNLP member is thus in the same situation as any therapist or coach, for example, if he has not worked through his own family loyalty entanglements, which are⁴⁴ then "triggered" by the client's issues. Of course, DVNLP-

⁴² And: Linked to explicit stigmatization and labeling processes or not.

⁴³ As happened in the "Causa DVNLP".

⁴⁴ DVNLP members are, like NLP practitioners working therapeutically (e.g. as alternative practitioners) or quasi-therapeutically (as coaches) with their clients in general, in a vulnerable position anyway, because NLP trainings do not provide for self-therapy. My Gestalt therapy trainer Prof. Dr. Dr. Hilarion Petzold and I led to this in the foreword of the first NLP book I translated in 1980 ("Frogs into Princes", German). "New ways of short-term therapy": *"We are convinced that the new instruments of NLP can bring help to many patients in a shorter time than was possible in classical approaches to therapy, if they are used by competent therapists who have worked through their own problems (with classical approaches such as psychoanalysis or Gestalt therapy). Otherwise, NLP will serve to act on the size fantasies of people who want to conceal their own neediness with manipulative brilliance. ... In this sense, it should be pleaded here for the extremely explosive technology of NLP to be passed on in training and further training courses only to those persons who have a sufficiently broad and comprehensive basic therapeutic*

members who are coaches or therapists can get into this situation at any time. Due to their silent and secretive involvement in the events of the association, it can happen that their possibly unresolved family entanglements overlap with the entanglements in the context of their association, their professional "origin and home system", and reinforce each other in a way that is disastrous for their client. This can particularly affect clients where, as is very often the case, pathogenic exclusions of people from the respective system become apparent in the background of their concern in the history of their families, companies or organisations, sometimes over several generations, which have a strong impact on the current problem experience of the clients concerned.

For the change work with all these topics DVNLP members, who have chosen a discreet loyalty with their questionably excluding association leadership and thus for the blind spots probably arising with it, have as a professional coach or therapist a clear and professionally ethically questionable handicap.

Communicative forceful into the future? "Failure to sue" or professional dialogue?

After my failed attempts to reach a joint declaration with the DVNLP board of directors regarding my resignation and after their complete renunciation of commenting on my critical DVNLP texts other than through ill-considered and sloppily formulated injunctive relief demands of his lawyers, there will probably be no intellectual exchange between me and the leading members of the DVNLP under the current leadership of the association. Unfortunately, because a cooperation of leading representatives of this method would be urgently needed with regard to some questions of content and ethics if NLP is to survive as an independent discipline and method.

Too strong are probably the forces of tabooing in the association, on the one hand as a consequence of the "return of the repressed" of the murder entanglement of NLP co-founder Richard Bandler⁴⁵ and on the other hand as a consequence of the above described conflicts of loyalty of probably many DVNLP members. And unfortunately, as I had to learn in several conversations, also as a result of a lack of civil courage and willingness to stand up for the values of the NLP method they advocate.

The path now taken by the board of directors to add yet another, purely economic destruction to its lawsuits against me is very likely to completely ruin the reputation of the DVNLP as a "serious" further education association, which has already been

training with corresponding self-therapy - as a preventive contribution to a responsible use of the effective techniques of short-term therapy offered by neurolinguistic programming. That this point would become so relevant in a "Causa DVNLP", I would not have thought 36 years ago.

⁴⁵ This pattern of coping in NLP, i.e. the collective repression of the topic "Violence in NLP", is the subject of "*Violence, abuse, double standards and the return of the repressed in DVNLP*".

tarnished by the fact that its board of directors has found no way to have its busy lawyers attack the points of my actual and well-founded criticism.

I will report on the subjects and the course of the individual DVNLP/.Stahl court cases, as well as on the already fixed dates in Hamburg in my *blog*.⁴⁶ In detail it concerns

- the main action, which has not yet been withdrawn, on the interim injunction obtained by the DVNLP, I should not say that "the executive committee deceived and manipulated the 2014 general meeting". The temporary injunction was lifted by the Hamburg Regional Court on 14.10.2016 and at the same time an application by the DVNLP for up to € 250,000 fine against me was rejected (I would not have complied with this injunction with "the board of directors has cheated the MV-xxx-t" instead of "the board of directors has cheated the MV"),
- an alleged "violation of personal rights" of the DVNLP, which the executive committee ⁴⁷complains about in connection with some of the fascism and totalitarianism analogies in my article "DVNLP deserted by all good spirits?"
- a "trademark infringement" lawsuit, which could be a good example of what is known as a "kaput" lawsuit. It refers to a data garbage of the magazine "Praxis Kommunikation" of the Junfermann-Verlag, which can only be found in the internet under very special search requests. I am not responsible for this garbage, as the boss of the Junfermann-Verlag has confirmed to me in a written apology, but exclusively his web programmers are responsible for it. The DVNLP has obviously taken the risk here that I would have to pass on to the Junfermann-Verlag my financial loss, which would be considerable if I were convicted.

With its files and actions of its Dr. jur. led executive board, which are aimed at eliminating and economically ruining its critics, communicatively extremely impoverished and violent, but formally legally possibly successful, the DVNLP has succeeded in a remarkable destruction of learning opportunities for all involved:

At the beginning of the "Causa DVNLP" I had publicly admitted the biggest mistake of my life as a psychologist, namely to have been terribly wrong in my assessment of the psychological situation of a DVNLP course attendant. In this context, and in order to persuade the association not to block my request for a hearing with this course supervisor before the DVNLP's arbitration commission any further, I have withdrawn a supervision hours certificate that may have been issued to him (for countless professional discussions with him in the course of his course supervisions at my place), which was possibly a little too generously.

⁴⁶ <https://thiesstahl.com/>

⁴⁷ Link: "*DVNLP deserted by all good spirits? predetermined breaking point fascistoid-totalitarian slips and loss of self-control*"

My goal was to learn from some mistakes made in the association with him, my longtime colleague Martina Schmidt-Tanger and the other colleagues in the association. This included, on the one hand, the mistake traditionally made in NLP of not paying sufficient attention to the potentially negative consequences of intimate, power-asymmetrical relationships in the coaching and training context. And on the other hand also that of having been too naive in relation to the subject of violence - which on the one hand is perhaps inherent in NLP and on the other hand obviously belongs in the lives of many people who are attracted by NLP. Both topics, ultimately the "sex and crime" tabooed in NLP, should have been included in an urgently needed revision of the ethical guidelines and certain regulations to be established within the association for dealing with power-asymmetrical relations in DVNLP seminars. I had made a start on this with a few thoughts that I had submitted in writing to the Executive Board. But my colleagues Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Jens Tomas and other leading DVNLP members probably felt this as too threatening, if they would have had to publicly reconsider their own experiences and their attitude towards the topic "Intimate relationship of DVNLP teacher trainers with participants in DVNLP seminars".

So the DVNLP - light years away from a culture of error that meets the demands of its method - used my withdrawn supervision certificate as a successful formal legal justification for my exclusion: It was obviously so important to its leadership to get rid of an uncomfortable member who questioned⁴⁸their dangerous tabooing consensus.

So now the DVNLP members and their clients have to start all over again with regard to what there is obviously still to learn in this context. Unless, however, some DVNLP

⁴⁸ Whether I am insane to let what happened in my seminar become public now, my long-time colleague Martina Schmidt-Tanger told us in September 2014. And this now, after all, people have finally forgotten about Bandler's involvement in the 1986 murder! If Martina and I were still talking today, she would probably say: The complaints suppressed in the association should now please be forgotten at last. And the complainant with it, please. After all, no one would talk about the shot Corine Christensen today. And I would argue: NLP is not for cowards. In the hands of cowards and taboo scarecrows, NLP loses its magic and turns into sheer violence.

members wake up - in time before the coming general meeting - from their⁴⁹ "word of honour! Everything was "statutorily and legally flawless" lowtrance⁵⁰.

Manipulated official procedures in the LKA and social psychiatric service

Since 31.10.2016, it has been clear from the inspection of files by the lawyer of the person concerned what Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger already knew at that time on the basis of the inspection of files granted to the DVNLP association lawyer in June 2014 and did not pass this on to us. (01.04.2018: See the "*Dossier on victim-victim-reversion*")

The LKA memorandum of 24.01. is only one of several internal police processes relevant to the "DVNLP case":

1st process

In an internal LKA mail from **13.01.2014** to LKA colleagues, Mr. B., Chief Inspector (KOK), describes the person concerned as an "*obviously mentally confused frequent writer*"⁵¹ and twice refers to her as "*the lady*" in a disrespectful manner: The lady had caused civil court proceedings and further accusations were to be expected from the lady. Furthermore, it is stated that "*the Social Psychiatric Service has now been informed, and Dr. R. is also a supporting police psychologist*".

When and by whom the Social Psychiatric Service was informed, Mr B. does not say in this note. In his note of 24.01.2014 (see below) he gives a hint: "*...the social-psychiatric service Altona confirmed the receipt of my letter*".

2nd process

In a next note dated **17.01.2014** Mr KOK B.: "*After consultation with Mr. StA (public prosecutor) K., all letters and notifications from Ms. ... will be sent to the StA (public*

⁴⁹ "The General Assembly 2014 has been deceived and manipulated by the Board with incomplete and false information!" On 24 October 2016, the Hamburg Regional Court, in its reasoning for the lifting of the interim injunction, I am not allowed to say, pointed out that there were sufficient connecting factors for the statement in dispute, which was to be understood as an expression of opinion. And, in soothing clarity, the judge added "Moreover, the dissemination of the passage in question is likely to be permissible simply because the defendant was excluded from the general meeting. Whether the Executive Board had assumed an incorrect legal interpretation when refusing to participate in the meeting is irrelevant in this respect. As a result of this unlawful act, the defendant was unable to defend its position at the general meeting.

⁵⁰ And from the trance of the anniversary gala awarding of honorary memberships to the manipulation and bullying masters of the DVNLP. Three current honorary members of the association are thus involved in the "DVNLP case" with considerable moral guilt.

⁵¹ Even in the "Specialist Service for Sexual Offences of the LKA" it is not so often that an extremely resilient, psychologically healthy woman, who after 38 years manages to get out of a system of violent relationships, reports several perpetrators from decades, is able to write with ten fingers and, in addition to therapy, writes down extensive traumatic experiences and biographical connections as an additional therapeutic treatment.

prosecutor's office) Hamburg with immediate effect without further investigation for the legal assessment of the AZ (file number) ...". (Emphasis on me.)

3rd process

In the LKA note of **24.01.2014**, which has already been quoted in its entirety above, Mr. KOK B.: *"The Altona Social and Psychiatric Services confirmed receipt of my letter. The problem has been intensively dealt with. Without a doubt, Mrs... 'extremely conspicuous' and probably delusional, as is Mr. Stahl. (As a reminder: No one from the Social Psychiatric Service has ever seen or spoken to the person concerned or Thies Stahl!)"*

This third note of the KOK B. reads as if the diagnosis "mentally confused" hypothesized by Mr. B. in his first note had not only been confirmed as a hypothesis by the employee of the Social Psychiatric Service, who is not mentioned by name, but had been substantively and profoundly substantiated by facts and data and had thus been elevated to the rank of an irrefutable diagnostic fact.

Whether this "diagnosis" originally came from the Social Psychiatric Service or from the LKA is not clear from this third note of the KOK B. From his first and third notes together, it is only apparent that the Social Psychiatric Service, through Mr B., essentially confirms to the LKA the information previously transmitted to him from the LKA, but not whether, and if so what additional information was transmitted from the Social Psychiatric Service to the LKA. What is clear is that the "extremely conspicuous" diagnosis of the social psychiatric service, which Mr. B. quoted as "doubtlessly" correct, confirms the mental confusion of "the lady", as he called the person concerned, which Mr. B. had previously hypothetically assumed and placed in the room. His "diagnosis" was quasi validated for the LKA internal communication.

This quasi-diagnosis of Mr. B. corresponds to another LKA "diagnosis", which appears in the next process as a quotation from the police psychologist Dr. R. (see below). There the statement by Mr. B. is confirmed that the Social Psychiatric Service was contacted by the LKA regarding the person concerned and that information about her person was passed from the LKA to the Social Psychiatric Service. Whether this ominously blurred but effectively stigmatizing "diagnosis" originally came from the LKA, or whether the LKA only (repeatedly) referred back to it, remains open in the third process as well.

Interesting is the quasi-therapeutic recommendation of the nameless employee in the social psychiatric service, which is connected with a warning and which Mr. B. quotes as an addition to his "diagnosis" (extremely conspicuous and presumably delusional): *"However, a foreign or self-endangerment is not seen. It was therefore decided not to approach Mrs Stahl or Mr Stahl, as this would probably not lead to any improvement. On the contrary, it is feared that the social-psychiatric service will then also be 'overwhelmed' with letters. In addition, it is possible that a woman ... can bring criminal charges against anyone who does not act in her interest."*

By this addition this LKA note was immunized against further questioning. This "immunization" of the official and quasi-diagnostic statement of the LKA about the person concerned (and me), which then spread virally, was extremely successful,

measured by the years of inactivity of the police, after the person concerned had presented Mr. KOK B. a few months earlier with an address list for house searches for violent and child pornographic material. And also measured by the fact that the LKA was able to impose an absolute communications quarantine on the person concerned successfully and for years - as well as on me, because like theirs, my urgent inquiries to Mr. B. and then to Mr. Z., the officer responsible for their reports, were completely ignored by the LKA. We were air for the LKA - just as we were for the DVNLP, which with its 1,950 members provided a good breeding ground for the stigmatization process that had begun in the Social Psychiatric Service and the LKA, to spread.

The immunization of this "fake diagnosis" note was probably also so successful because the recommendation and warning of the Social Psychiatric Service cited by Mr. B. made it clear to those employees in the LKA who might have considered questioning this "diagnosis" of the person concerned and who still wanted to investigate the matter, that they could then be "overwhelmed" with a lot of work or, in the worst case, even become victims of criminal charges brought by the person concerned.

If one sees this immunization as a masterpiece of communicative and artistic manipulation, one could assume that it is part of its design that concerns that might arise among some LKA employees (after all, if it was a matter of police inaction in the face of reported commercialized sexual violence against children) are immediately reassured with: *"One has taken note of the current situation and will take it into account if the woman's state of health ... deteriorates to such an extent that a need for treatment, possibly even for a medical examination, is indicated. even against her will."* This means that the social psychiatric service takes care of the mental well-being of the person concerned and if he or she needed help with this, they would turn to the LKA again. Until then, the LKA employees would not have to worry and above all they would not have to have a guilty conscience.

The above statement at least implies that the person concerned is so severely disturbed that he is in danger of⁵²having to be admitted against his will. Together with the statements made before that, it is quasi suggested that if one should decide to communicate with her, i.e. to question her in the course of investigations, this would increase the danger for the person concerned. These sentences could be understood in such a way that one would have to be willing to take on a corresponding responsibility if one decided to hear them.

⁵² Whoever may have succeeded in manipulatively instrumentalizing the Social Psychiatric Service and through it influencing the internal communication in the LKA, the message which, apart from the effects of this manipulation described above, reached the person concerned, was known to her for decades: We have the means and the power to put you in the nuthouse if you drop out and testify.

The concluding *"Further documents submitted by Ms. ... would not be needed for the time being"* surely meets the wish of every LKA employee to be able to conclude a case in case of doubt and hand it over to the "leave it there and wait and see" file of the public prosecutor's office.

Questions to be answered urgently by the LKA: Has anyone from the social psychiatric service confirmed this "diagnosis" of Dr. R. by additionally contributing "information"? Did the LKA-Info only come back from the Social Psychiatric Service or was it supplemented by the Social Psychiatric Service with any additional information? Mr. KOK B. was asked to explain the content of his letter addressed to the Social Psychiatric Service and who was his telephone contact there.

Besides, it needs to be clarified: Didn't Mr B. know that the Social Psychiatric Service is not allowed to make any external diagnoses? If not, how did he ensure that no one within that authority used the connection of the Social Psychiatric Service to the LKA to denounce the person concerned, e.g. in cooperation with other persons for whom it is of vital importance that the person concerned does not testify against them in the police and in court?

4th process

An investigation note dated **10.03.2014** from Ms W., KK'in the police station KED21, in which she writes in connection with charges against the person concerned: *"A telephone consultation with the local criminal psychologist Ms Dr. R. on 04.02.2014 showed that the accused, Ms ..., is known to her and was also reported to the social psychiatric service by LKA 42, Ms According to Dr. R. Mrs. ... seems to be mentally ill and suffering from delusions.⁵³"* (The somewhat odd grammar is faithfully reproduced)

The sentence that follows *"According to the current state of knowledge, a legally usable psychiatric report is not yet available"* is decisive for the legal situation of the persons concerned with regard to the (pre-)conviction by the DVNLP board of directors that violates their personal rights - if it had been duly considered by the association's management. Unfortunately, it can be assumed that this sentence was read by the lawyers Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his fellow student, association lawyer Harms, as well as by the psychologist Martina Schmidt-Tanger, but (intentionally) not taken note of.

⁵³ Dr. R. certainly did not differentiate here between the processing of traumatic experiences of a resilient, psychologically healthy woman and a mental illness in the sense of any psychotic event (psychological abnormality, delusions, etc.). If Dr. R. had talked to the person concerned about her life, or had taken a look at her biographical notes, or, for example, had asked me as her partner what I had noticed about her therapeutic workup and the physical symptoms accompanying the recollection of traumas, such psychopathologizing statements would not have come over her lips.

5th process

The stigmatizing "diagnosis" of Dr. R. was passed on by Ms. W. in the investigation notes of **11 April 2014** regarding two charges brought against me by conflict partners of the person concerned and myself - quasi virally, or, spoken in another analogy: Ms. KK'in W. filed this pathologizing and poisonous "diagnosis" like fly eggs for further propagation in two further, newly created investigation files, each with a proper note in the file, ignorant of the consequences and probably also without malicious intent: *"Mr. Stahl is the life partner of the mentally ill woman ... who is already known to the police.*

So *"woman ... seems to be mentally ill"* actually became *"the mentally ill woman ..."*. The in any case carelessly and probably also competence-assumingly expressed hypothesis of a LKA psychology became for the person concerned (and me) the hard reality of a murderous pre-conviction by many people even of a communicators' association, in whose heads and hearts this "diagnosis" caused such an insurmountable communication blockade that only our elimination remained as a solution for them.

The phrase *"woman already known to the police."* refers to one aspect of probably all stigmatization processes, that of unstoppable proliferation: the appearance of this remark in the files of new investigative processes (two such cases can be proven for this stigmatization process, in which it was a matter of charges brought against me by others), illustrates the phenomenon of the spread of a stigmatization into potentially all life contexts of the stigmatized person. These contexts can be police contexts, but also organisational contexts (such as the committees and the members of the DVNLP) or private (for example, the children of the person concerned, who have not been able to see their mother for more than four years, hear from family members and acquaintances reported by the person concerned that their mother is crazy - at least according to the official assessment of the State Criminal Police Office and the DVNLP). A stigmatization spreads like a rhizome, or in a more deadly analogy spoken, like metastases into all life contexts of the person concerned and finally poisons their whole life - unless it can be stopped and made aware to all involved.

However...

...what Dr. jur. Jens Tomas probably did not let his DVNLP association lawyer research in 2014 is the role of the social psychiatric service. The lawyer of the person concerned recently found out

Dr. B., the head of the Social Psychiatric Service (SPD) Altona said that such a statement (e.g. "probably delusional" and "extremely conspicuous") could not and should not be made by his authority. There was a police inquiry as to whether advice or help should be offered within the SPD's jurisdiction. This was done by sending documents which the person concerned had submitted in connection with the criminal complaint against DVNLP instructor XY. The SPD had examined this and found that other state institutions, such as the Youth Welfare Office, are already involved in this matter because of the children, and also the police, and came to the conclusion that the SPD had nothing to do here.

In principle, such statements could not be made even without own investigations and inquiries. Evaluations and diagnoses should not be disclosed to the outside world anyway, but at most external procedural steps such as an activity in one's own responsibility. Everything else is subject to the strict health secret.

Dr. B. would have liked to have investigated this and explained that he was quite surprised that this police note did not contain the name of an interlocutor at the SPD. He remembers that at that time (early 2014) there were of course employees in the SPD who no longer work there today.

Conclusion: *The Social Psychiatric Service distances itself from such a statement, on the one hand because of lack of competence and permission, on the other hand because of lack of verifiability. Such a statement, if made there, would have no value and could not be used in any legal context.*

Stigmatisation, (pre-)conviction and bullying

In the case of the "DVNLP case", the process of stigmatisation developed from the prejudgement and bullying of a member by an entire association to its character assassination and elimination by the association management.

- The criminal psychologist Dr. R. spreads her stigmatizing and pathologizing "diagnosis" within the LKA 42, which she probably only arrived at by reading some of the advertisement texts of the persons concerned. This stigmatizing "diagnosis" is supported by Mr. KOK B. (who speaks of the person concerned in a similar way as men occasionally do when the subject comes up at regulars' tables or in sophisticated, mixed-gender conversations with the "ladies" of the horizontal trade).
- Both had never seen or spoken to the person concerned in January 2014. The only conversation in the LKA with the person concerned, her lawyer, the LKA employee Z., who was responsible for her reports, the LKA psychologist Dr. R. and myself) did not take place until April 2014 and was extremely superficial: The "diagnosis" of the person concerned, which had long since been completed by Dr. R. and KOK B. in the LKA at the time and had been immortalized in the files, was not discussed by Dr. R. and Mr. Z. - and not by us either, of course, because we had no idea of its existence.
- *"According to Dr. R., woman appears to be mentally ill and suffering from delusions."* This sentence, when read together with the sentence, *"According to the current state of knowledge here, a legally usable psychiatric report is not yet available"*, can create a prejudiced expectation in the reader that such a report will probably be available soon. This is especially true if the reader has the sentence from the LKA note of 24.01.2014 in mind: *"...if the health condition of the woman ... deteriorates to such an extent that the necessity of treatment becomes necessary, possibly even against her will."*
- Dr. R. distributes this "diagnosis" to the police departments involved, e.g. she lets Ms. W. from KED21 know by telephone that the person concerned "seems" to be "mentally ill". (There is probably nothing to be said against Dr. R. training her ability to diagnose via the thumb and from a distance in the oral

presentation of case-related hypotheses in her circle of colleagues, but to perpetuate them in memos is extremely problematic - as the "Causa DVNLP" with its now becoming clear background shows).

- Then there is the metamorphosis of a hypothesis into a diagnosis, which is decisive for the stigmatization process: Ms. KK'in W. loses the word "seems" from the quote that is still intact in her investigation note of 10 March 2014 when she speaks of the person concerned as "*the mentally ill woman ...*" in two new files created on 11 April 2014 on two new investigation procedures that concern completely different people. The hypothesis becomes a fact, the subjunctive "it could be that ..." becomes the indicative "it is that ...".
- There is frighteningly little psychological expertise among the LKA professionals involved: The withdrawal of reports by the persons concerned, for example, is not mentioned by Mr. B. and Dr. R. in other, now available LKA-internal communications as a possible expression of fear of new punitive actions by the perpetrators or as an indication of the existence of, for example T. also saw family conflicts of loyalty with persons of the circle of perpetrators, but as a simple fact only briefly stated - whereby these communications in the context of the others read more like indications of a lack of credibility of the reporting stigmatized persons.
- It is decided not to investigate. This is tantamount to a decision against all communication and thus against the fact that news could enter into the firmly cemented image of those actively involved in the stigmatisation: No learning, no opening of the view for backgrounds and larger contexts. With the decision not to investigate, any chance of stopping the stigmatization process that has begun is lost for the time being.
- Because stigmatization and non-communication belong together. In this respect, the processes of stigmatization and (pre-)conviction in the LKA and in the Communicators' Association DVNLP are probably identical. Even NLP trainings are no guarantee against the increasing loss of willingness and ability to communicate when one has become an active part of a stigmatization - e.g. because the stigmatization and exclusion of the person concerned contributes to the achievement of important own goals.
- The stigmatization process and the process of (pre-)condemnation associated with it spreads to other private and social contexts. It spreads, for example, via the file inspections of the various opposing lawyers into the relationship networks of their clients, which may consist of individuals, family members, acquaintances, groups or entire organisations - in the present case even into the largest German association for further education DVNLP. This is all the more the case, the more people there are in the respective contexts who benefit from the stigmatization of the person concerned, e.g. not being prosecuted for their own criminal offences or even disposing of unwelcome members of the association by co-operating in the stigmatization.

So now it has turned out that the DVNLP leadership was aware of these "underground" processes within the LKA and between the LKA and the social

psychiatric service, and yet they took the side of the conflict partners of the people concerned. Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger have either decided to collaborate with the manipulators in the social psychiatric service and in the LKA (as they have done secretly with the DVNLP teaching trainer and his lawyer who is charged as an accomplice) or, in the sense of a free ride, to jump on an already taking place stigmatization and use it for their own purposes.

Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger did not use their legal and psychological expertise and their information advantage from the inspection of the files by the DVNLP association lawyer to protect the persons concerned and me (as members of the association), but as background security, as a net and double bottom for our association's exclusion, which they boldly and underhandedly pursued.

The person concerned and I could not have imagined at that time that the LKA had already decided on 17 January 2014 not to investigate the reported DVNLP members and the other suspected perpetrators from their decades-old network of violent and forced prostitution relationships: For unbearably long months before and after the 2014 MV, we waited for the police to finally do something.

And now the inspection of the files more than suggested the suspicion that the association functionaries Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger had known during this whole time that we were deliberately treated as non-existent by the LKA and deliberately kept in ignorance. So it seems that you have actively participated in this life- and communication-hostile threat against two members of your federation, and that you have also mediated in the fact that some crimes could not be solved - protect the federation members reported by the person concerned from any internal processing or jurisdiction and a DVNLP teacher trainer reported for sexual violence and forced prostitution against the person concerned and her children, by supporting him, hidden from the public, against the person concerned and me.

Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger have not only managed to deceive and manipulate the 2014 DVNLP General Assembly in such a way that it was willingly misused as a questionable show tribunal of a summary court sentencing in absentia to eliminate two violently silenced critics, but obviously also those members of the association who voted to appoint them honorary members of the DVNLP - together with another member of the association who was involved in the "DVNLP case".

With these new honorary memberships, three of the four most influential background actors in the association have now become, as decorated dignitaries of their association, a quasi institutionalized part of a world view to be overcome in the DVNLP. Virginia Satir called it the "hierarchical world view". With all her work, she wanted to contribute to people being able to⁵⁴ break away from the "hierarchical

⁵⁴ See my article "DVNLP deserted by all good spirits? predetermined breaking point fascistoid-totalitarian slips and loss of self-control".

world view" with its focus on the exercise of power by eliminating options and people, and to the appreciation of diversity and difference of an "organic world view", which is conducive to learning.

Now, in the largest German association for further education DVNLP, which has arrived in the middle of society, exactly that kind of communicative violence has been named and ennobled as a model, which, as a life-threatening threat, was for centuries an integral part of the communication and life practice of the "hierarchical world view": stigmatising, pathologising, criminalising, criminalising, psychiatrising, excommunicating and (reputation) murdering.

The new honorary members are decorated prize winners for an officially responsible and successfully practiced deception and manipulation of the (association) public, for the betrayal of members and for the "exemplary" exemplary replacement of learning and human communication by not only communicative but also gross physical violence. They are thus representatives of a subliminal threat with an affinity for violence through the hierarchical world view, which has now been successfully restored in the DVNLP and which could hardly have been practiced and exemplified in a more hostile and poisonous way - and which even the sharpest critics of the NLP, who are fixated on the permanent accusation of manipulation, could⁵⁵not have imagined in their worst nightmares.

The new dignitaries of the DVNLP thus stand for the restoration and strengthening of a world view and a life practice in the association, which would have horrified the great figures in the background of NLP. Virginia Satir said in 1981 with tears in her eyes about a picture of herself with Richard Bandler and John Grinder, "Look at this: Here you see me and my two beautiful delinquent sons." To the pictures of the newly appointed DVNLP honorary members from the anniversary gala she would probably say, "Look at this: Here you see my beautiful delinquent grand children in their delinquent German Verband." I would agree with her opinion if she would then come to the conclusion that NLP is no longer in good hands in the DVNLP.

⁵⁵ You will probably say now, NLPers are not just manipulators. They are manipulators who cannot resist being manipulated within hierarchical structures by more powerful and influential manipulators themselves. (1,590 members of the association were personally informed by me by e-mail about the fact that they were deceived and manipulated in the 2014 GM by their outgoing chairman. The next day they appointed him and his assistant, the member of the training and further education commission, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, as honorary members).