

Caution!

Machine generated translation!

PERPETRATORS ASSOCIATION DVNLP - SILENCE, DENIAL AND REPRESSION	1
THE FACTS.....	3
SYNCHRONIZED OFFENDER SYSTEMS INSIDE AND OUTSIDE THE DVNLP.....	5
<i>Synchronization of the Training and Education Commission</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>Synchronization of the Management Board</i>	<i>9</i>
Board of Directors assists the perpetrators.....	9
Chairman "faked" official association document.....	11
Executive Board abuses trust - synchronisation through retraumatisation.....	12
DVNLP dirty supplies the perpetrators with clean character witnesses	16
<i>Synchronization of the members.....</i>	<i>16</i>
Regression into the Middle Ages: DVNLP banishes witches and heretics.....	17
"Lynch mob" regression of the general meeting	19
Sustainable synchronisation through "final declaration.....	19
CRIMINAL PSYCHIATRIZATION - CO-POWERD BY DVNLP	20
CAN THE DVNLP STILL BE SAVED?.....	21
QUESTIONS FOR A DVNLP INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE	22

Perpetrators Association DVNLP - Silence, denial and repression

Thies Stahl, 26.10.2017, update on 17.01.2020¹

"Why should my DVNLP certificates be worth less now, due to a banal and untraceable conflict? It was created between Thies Stahl and the incumbents and has nothing to do with the DVNLP per se.

This is a common misconception, which I recently came across again in a conversation with a DVNLP instructor. It is understandable that this colleague sees it that way, especially because of the economic aspects. But this point of view is, as a consequence of a grandiose lie of the DVNLP executive board, a gross distortion of reality: The *"Final Declaration on the Exclusion of Thies Stahl"*, which the DVNLP has been presenting to its members on the net since 22.09.2015, contains gross

¹ 01.11.2017: 2nd and 3rd paragraph in chapter "Synchronization of members" new; 15.11.2017: In footnote #23 together with reference text terms have been replaced, also in footnote #51; 13.04.2018, 17.04.2018 and 16.08.2018: update links, 20.09.2018: minor corrections, 30.07.2019: links corrected, 17.01.2020: link "Legal facts" new. - On ThiesStahl.de this text and all documents linked to in this text can be found on the website <https://thiesstahl.com/texte-und-materialien-zum-dvnlp/>.

misrepresentations and deceptive inaccuracies². It is a "lie by omission"³ that neither concludes nor explains anything. It is a commitment of this association to a culture of denial, repression and tabooing and has been on its website on the Internet for two years, as a memorial to a historical turning point in this association of professional communicators and mediators. This change consists in the fact that today, 21 years after its foundation and 36 years after the foundation of its predecessor association, the DVNLP⁴ accepts and makes honorary members of an association leadership that exemplifies to its members communicative and physical violence and power-abusing exclusion as accepted means of conflict resolution.

The new board of directors also adheres to this final declaration, as a memorial and an ⁵indirect call to silence and taboo, which is certainly intimidating for many members of the association. He shifts corrections of historical untruths and lies to later generations, because like his predecessor, he does not clear up and does not face up to the violations of statutes and laws committed in the DVNLP, nor to the crimes committed in this association against the spirit and values of the NLP and, through denial and cover-up, are still being committed today.

By refraining from clarification, the DVNLP is perpetuating its current status as an association of perpetrators, as an association in which functionaries can commit, tolerate and cover up violations of statutes and laws, in order to leave the misdeeds obviously committed in this association unclarified because of its own business and hedonistic advantages and to protect the suspected perpetrators. The new board of directors also expects the participants and clients of DVNLP trainers to face a considerable risk of danger, since numerous complaints about sexual, power and authority abuse from the⁶ years 2004 to 2011 were not investigated and clarified by the DVNLP, but systematically covered up.

² "... the 'final declaration on the exclusion of Thies Stahl' does not reflect the view of the Berlin Regional Court that the exclusion was unlawful. Furthermore, it is not mentioned that the defendant was granted membership rights until his voluntary resignation and that the resolution in the general meeting was passed unlawfully and contrary to the statutes". So the Hamburg Regional Court in its "groundbreaking ruling on Nazi analogies" in my article "DVNLP deserted by all good spirits? predetermined breaking point fascistoid-totalitarian slips and loss of self-control".

³ See also: *Grandiose lie - the "final declaration" of the DVNLP*.

⁴ Here is an *overview of the "NLP Associations"* in Germany, of which I was a part.

⁵ Many of the DVNLP instructors, who are worried about their certification entitlement, have been very reserved in their criticism of the association's management. One of them said: *"If the management of the association has the legal know-how and the criminal energy to unceremoniously kick out its founder and a member complaining about abuse of power, I would rather keep my mouth shut."*

⁶ Under "violation of sexual self-determination" is today subsumed: sexual violence, sexualised violence, sexual abuse, sexual assault, sexual violation of boundaries, sexual coercion, sexual act, sexual offence, sexual child abuse. All these offences involve a violation of the legal right to sexual

This irresponsible action of the DVNLP board of directors is not only a threat to the emotional integrity and sexual integrity of DVNLP seminar participants and DVNLP coaching clients, but also a threat to the integrity of the NLP method, both with regard to the expected quality losses of the practical work of the NLP users⁷ organized in DVNLP and the generally existing problematic practice of tabooing violence and power topics in the NLP community⁸. The latter can probably no longer be corrected in the DVNLP for the foreseeable future due to the officially decreed silence about the derailments in the association concerning exactly these topics.

The facts

In my four previous articles on the DVNLP, I have dealt with the monstrous events in this association, which I, as initiator, was instrumental in founding, from various NLP-historical, psychological and social perspectives⁹, and have explained to what extent the DVNLP has lost its moral and professional justification today, to represent NLP as a method in good humanistic and communication theory tradition - at least until the board of directors finally clarifies the situation, faces up to the internal mistakes and misdemeanours of the last years, which are illegal and contrary to the statutes of the association, and acknowledges an obligation to compensate the injured members

The lapses in the DVNLP for which the board is responsible are so monstrous that they can only be adequately described by using analogies from dark German Nazi¹⁰ and Stasi¹¹ times. In a nutshell, the leadership and members of the DVNLP deny, cover up, conceal and suppress these processes and events within the association:

self-determination - and they are all found as concrete accusations in the complaints systematically suppressed in the DVNLP.

⁷ See my article *"The NLP and the madmen. The DVNLP corrupts its method"*.

⁸ In *"Violence, Abuse, Double Morals and the Return of the Repressed in the DVNLP"* I have described how the concealment of violence and abuse in the DVNLP supports the dangerous tendency to taboo resulting from the murder involvement of one of the NLP founders, Richard Bandler.

⁹ *"The perverse triangle as a recursive pattern in the DVNLP"*, *"Violence, abuse, double standards and the return of the repressed in the DVNLP"*, *"DVNLP abandoned by all good spirits? predetermined breaking point fascistoid-totalitarian slips and loss of self-control"*, *"The NLP and the madmen. The DVNLP corrupts its method"*, *"My beautiful delinquent German Association! DVNLP completes perpetrator-victim conversion"*, *"Perpetrator Association DVNLP - Silence, Denial and Repression"*, *"DVNLP + GNLC hide suspected sex offender"* and *"Psychiatry. Not funny"*, as well as *"Legal facts of the DVNLP case"*, *"For what crimes is the DVNLP in the pillory? DVNLP relies on lying CEO"* and *"DVNLP is lying. Chronic"*.

¹⁰ Here the *"groundbreaking verdict on the Nazi analogies"* in my article *"DVNLP abandoned by all good spirits? predetermined breaking point fascistoid-totalitarian slips and loss of self-control"*.

¹¹ In my article *"My beautiful delinquent German Verband! DVNLP completes victim-offender-reversion"* I describe the derailments in the DVNLP in analogy to those of the MfS of the GDR as "measures of decomposition".

- The complaints filed in the summer of 2013 by a member of the association regarding sexual and other abuses of power were not dealt with by the statutory bodies of the association, but were (even physically) violently suppressed by the executive committee.
- The board of directors of this training association of professional communicators has failed to communicate with the complainant member of the association. Instead, in May 2014, he pathologized the complainant with the help of a dirty trick by the chairman of the board, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas¹², which was then adopted as a shyster's trick by the lawyer of the association criminalizing the complainant in order to prevent her from participating in a crisis meeting with the board of directors that had been agreed with her and myself for the beginning of June 2014.
- In September 2014, the board of directors approved a "covert operation" against me and the complainant: Concealed from the public of the association, today's DVNLP honorary members Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Cora Besser-Siegmund, together with today's DVNLP honorary prize winner Stephan Landsiedel and the spokeswoman of the "DVNLP Regional Group Schleswig-Holstein/Hamburg", Petra P., the addressee of the complaint, DVNLP member XY¹³, in whose multi-person conflict of several members, due to the loss of neutrality of the executive board, was not mediated within the association but outside the association (reduced to a court case XY against steel to prevent a solution): Three of the new DVNLP honorary members, one of the new DVNLP honorary award winners and two DVNLP members made themselves into dirty helpers of a defamation campaign¹⁴ of the suspected criminal XY, who was protected by the board of directors and is still hidden in the association as DVNLP teacher trainer.
- The¹⁵ general meeting in October 2014 was manipulated and deceived by the chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his executive committee, as an internal

¹² See footnote #28.

¹³ XY is the anonymizing name abbreviation in "*Causa DVNLP - The Chronology*" and the previous articles.

¹⁴ Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Jens Tomas supplied XY, who had been arranged through Petra P., who is a conflict partner of the complainant together with XY, with internal e-mails from me, which were intended to serve XY as proof that I had "adopted" the complainant's accusations against him. With obviously the same aim, to silence me, and especially of course the complainant, in the association, Cora Besser-Siegmund also provided her psychotherapy patient and wingwave trainer XY with a private mail from me (for which she has to answer before the Chamber of Psychotherapists). And today's DVNLP honorary award winner Stephan Landsiedel helped XY with a certificate fraud obviously approved by the executive board in his attempt to dismantle the complainant as a witness against him.

¹⁵ In its justification for the revocation of the temporary injunction obtained by the DVNLP, which states that I am not allowed to claim that the board of directors manipulated and deceived

perpetrator system together with Martina Schmidt-Tanger and the spokespersons of the "DVNLP Regional Group Schleswig-Holstein/Hamburg" and the "DVNLP Specialist Group Mediation", Petra P. and Anita von Hertel. The result of this manipulation and deception was the exclusion of the complainant, in violation of the statutes, first from the general meeting and then from the association, together with myself, who as initiator, founding board member and long-standing honorary member of the DVNLP had campaigned for the preservation of her membership and human rights in the association.

- In this general meeting, the DVNLP leadership committed perfidious character assassination against the complainant in my and her absence, which was contrary to the statutes and forced by physical (!) violence, and finally excluded her from the DVNLP, which was illegal and highly impoverished in terms of communication.
- In April 2015, I resigned from the DVNLP after the DVNLP Arbitration Commission, which in this case was the final instance, only wanted to talk to me and explicitly did not want to talk to the allegedly by several DVNLP members and *clearly* by Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his board of directors abused power and harmed the complainant: The overburdened and completely "out of office" arbitration commission¹⁶ had taken over the stupid "double-moral" and communicative-violent exclusion policy of the board of directors.

Synchronized offender systems inside and outside the DVNLP

These events in the association are part of a perpetrator-victim-reversion process conducted within the DVNLP: As an alleged victim of several sexual and power abuses in the association, the complainant was publicly defined and defamed by the board of directors as a false accuser and thus as a perpetrator without any hearing, explanation or corresponding court decisions.¹⁷ This alone is difficult to grasp, since these processes in DVNLP are anything but compatible with the values of an NLP in good humanistic and communication theory tradition.

the 2014 general meeting, the Regional Court of Hamburg points out that this claim is admissible "simply because the defendant [=Thies Stahl] was excluded from the general meeting. ... Due to this illegal procedure the defendant [=Thies Stahl] was not able to represent his position in the general meeting".

¹⁶ See *Causa DVNLP - the chronology*.

¹⁷ The Hamburg Regional Court's ruling (*interim injunction MV*): "It is to be assumed that the association did not pursue the charges brought and excluded the victim - Ms. ... [the complainant] - from the general meeting without hearing her position. At the same time, Ms ... [the complainant] was presented as implausible and lying. Since it is generally understood that a 'perpetrator-victim procedure' involves the victim and thus provides for participation in the investigation of the facts, possibly combined with compensation or the restoration of legal peace, the conduct of the plaintiff's executive board [=DVNLP] may accordingly be assessed as a 'reverse process'.

But it is completely inconceivable that the DVNLP board of directors has also, knowingly or unknowingly, synchronized¹⁸ this victim-offender-reversion process for which it is responsible within the association against a member of the association with a victim-offender-reversion process which has already been set in motion outside the association against this member: With the perpetrator-victim-reversion process within the association, the DVNLP has - as a result - supported a paedocriminal perpetrator system outside the DVNLP which, according to the reports of the complainant suppressed in the DVNLP, is effective in the family and professional life background of the complainant.

The complainant had informed Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas about this offender system at an early stage in connection with the involvement of DVNLP instructor XY in this system. A DVNLP inquiry committee should clarify whether the two new honorary members Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, who are probably to be regarded as the initiating main perpetrators of¹⁹ this action in the DVNLP, which can already be called criminal, have passed on this part of

¹⁸ Observers who were not involved in the fierce conflicts, e.g. a sufficiently neutral DVNLP investigative committee, would immediately notice, if the complainant and her DVNLP conflict partners* were questioned, the almost identical orientation and basic structure of the actions directed against her and the attributions of the persons named by her as perpetrators inside and outside the DVNLP. For a synchronisation in the sense meant here, no direct agreements between the parties involved are necessary; it is sufficient to know that the respective own interest, here in relation to the complainant, is sufficiently similar to the interest of the persons in the other system. The term synchronization is an analogy (see the "They tick alike" synchronization <http://www.weltderphysik.de/detektor/physik-im-experiment/ticken-im-takt-synchronisation-von-metronomen/>). - With the concept of "bourgeois double standards", which in this case is probably important for a synchronization of social processes, I have dealt with the issue in my article "Violence, abuse, double standards and the return of the repressed in the DVNLP".

¹⁹ The Hamburg Regional Court in its "Nazi analogies" court ruling: "*For their place in the circle of perpetrators who also acted with extreme psychological violence towards the complainant, the DVNLP chairman Jens Tomas, and the member of the Training and Further Education Commission Martina Schmidt-Tanger, have generously used the DVNLP offices they have been awarded This statement is also an admissible assessment of the undisputed transactions. The defendant [= Thies Stahl] accuses the possible perpetrator [meaning XY] of having also acted psychologically "violently" towards Ms... [the complainant], a circumstance which, on the basis of the accusations made, is obvious in the context of a training relationship. Following on from this, the defendant moves two members of the board [meaning Dr. Jens Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger] into the "circle of perpetrators". This reference is not objectionable, as the information provided under lit. (c) in the circumstances already described, it is reasonable to consider that the members of the Board of Managing Directors acted in the same way as the possible perpetrators towards Ms... [the complainant], in that Ms... [the complainant] was not believed, was excluded and it can be assumed procedurally that this was not based on a careful investigation by the plaintiff or on the results of external investigations. In this respect, it is admissible that the Board did not deal with the potential victim, but used the power due to it to exclude the victim and thus support the offender. It is also undisputed that physical violence was used at the 2014 general meeting.*

the complainant's²⁰ reports concerning the pedocriminal background in her life to her colleagues on the board of directors and board of trustees of the DVNLP at all.

Either the management of the association around Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas has consciously and knowingly decided to work with a pedocriminal perpetrator system outside the association, or it has consciously and knowingly taken the risk of supporting such a perpetrator system in the course of its considerations, which are primarily oriented towards marketing and image cultivation of its own NLP offers - and probably also in order to get rid of two association members and personal conflict partners who are experienced as disturbing for their business.

The risk of doing the wrong thing in both cases must have been a considerable one for Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, because both of them had already in 2012 and 2013, emotionally shaken to²¹ the core, believed the complainant's reports about the abuses in her childhood and her commercialised sexual exploitation in paedophile circles. The "NLP-professional"-DVNLP teaching trainers Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas will have had considerable internal, but not loudly mentioned objections²² against the official policy of the DVNLP, which supports their "NLP-professional"-training institute at the price of a deprivation of rights and a character assassination of the complainant, who was presented in the association by them as an untrustworthy false accuser.

Synchronization of the Training and Education Commission

The process of synchronizing perpetrator-victim-reversion processes within and outside the DVNLP already started in 2012 and 2013, when Martina Schmidt-Tanger, as a senior member of the DVNLP's Training and Further Education Commission, decided not to help the complainant, an "NLP professional" participant certified by her as a "Systemic Coach (DVNLP)", with the clarification of two open questions for

²⁰ Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Jens Tomas were informed that the complainant, even as a small child and also as an adult woman, was at the mercy of a paedocriminal perpetrator system in which children were marketed up to the highest social circles. They were also aware that the complainant's children were also sexually exploited by this offender system.

²¹ Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas had reacted with great concern to the complainant's reports: Martina said that she could not sleep at all in view of these terrible reports about her childhood and also about the bad incidents in which a participant of our common "NLP-professional" coaching training and also one of her "NLP-professional" colleagues is said to have participated. Jens said that he could not bear further reports from the complainant's childhood about the terrible sexual abuse she had suffered and about the sexual exploitation in paedophile circles; he had to think of his little daughter all the time.

²² It is likely to be difficult for both of them to name, for example, before a DVNLP investigative committee, the criteria according to which they decided to define as untrustworthy that part of the complainant's reports which deals with persons who were the last to be added to the perpetrator system as peripheral, to the closer family system, which Jens and Martina had been horrified to note two years earlier - and who are at the same time DVNLP members.

her teaching trainer certification. The complainant had addressed these questions to her as the senior member of this DVNLP body, which is responsible for these issues under its statutes.

However, after Martina Schmidt-Tanger, the owner of the "NLP-Professional" training institute, learned that one of the "NLP-Professional" DVNLP teaching trainers was among the persons indicated by the complainant as an accomplice, she prevented the Education and Training Commission from dealing with the complainant's concern. Instead of using her office as the authoritative member of the Training and Further Training Commission for her former participant and conflict partner, she decided to use it against them and block her request to refer the matter to the Training and Further Training Commission. Instead, together with her "NLP-professional" colleague, the DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, she sided with XY in the association against its conflict partner, the complainant.

During this period, from the end of 2013 to mid-2014, the complainant and I waited in vain for Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, together with the members of the DVNLP Board of Trustees and those of the Arbitration Commission and the Training and Further Training Commission, to make progress with the preparation of an overall mediative solution to the multiple conflicts within the association that had arisen around the complainant. Martina repeatedly informed me in several telephone calls that the situation was complicated because Jens and she had already been told in the association that they would be "complainant" and "Thies Stahl" in the internal conflicts within the association. XY' as an 'NLP professional' DVNLP instructor trainer unilaterally sided with Thies Stahl, who is professionally connected to 'NLP professional' and has a friendship with Martina Schmidt-Tanger, and with the complainant as a former 'NLP professional' trainee.

On the basis of my many years of collegial cooperation with Martina Schmidt-Tanger, the complainant and I still believed in spring 2014 that Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, together with the other colleagues in the Association, would strive for an overall solution that would include the complainant's rights. But by the summer at the latest, it became clear from the correspondence between the Board of Managing Directors and myself and the complainant and her conflicting parties that Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas had long since set the course towards "eliminating the complainant".

This first phase of synchronising the perpetrator-victim-reversion processes within and outside the DVNLP thus consisted of Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas supporting one of two association members, XY, who were involved in intensive conflicts relevant to the association, against the other, the complainant. This first phase of the synchronization of the victim-offender-reversion processes within and outside the DVNLP took place at the level of the DVNLP's main initiator and operator of victim-offender-reversion, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and XY existing core system of DVNLP perpetrators: The two DVNLP functionaries and DVNLP member XY act synchronized with the pedocriminal background perpetrator system, i.e. they obviously pursue the same goal towards the complainant: to silence her. They defamed the complainant in the extended mail

distribution list of the board as untrustworthy and pathologised her as mentally disturbed. At this level, defined by the misuse of their DVNLP offices, the synchronization of perpetrator-victim conversion with XY as the presumed interface between the perpetrator systems inside and outside the DVNLP had thus been initiated.

Synchronization of the Management Board

For a "final solution through elimination" Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas had to recruit and mobilize the members of the board of directors and later also the members of the board of trustees. It will probably be the privilege of a DVNLP investigative committee to conduct the exciting research on how exactly and with which, hypnotically created realities supported by which lies Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas have achieved this.

At least, the entire board of directors (and then also the board of trustees) of the DVNLP decided at the beginning of June 2014 to violate the complainant's membership and human rights by not allowing her application for referral to the bodies responsible under the statutes - the association's training commission and arbitration commission - to be forwarded to these bodies, by forcibly excluding her from a board meeting planned with her and me, and by denying her every right to be heard.

Instead, on other levels, there was a synchronisation between the perpetrator systems within the DVNLP, consisting of DVNLP members and current DVNLP honorary members, and the suspected pedocriminal perpetrator system outside the DVNLP: DVNLP association officials and DVNLP members have acted together as perpetrators and accomplices in different formations, both covertly and openly and with deceptive intent, against the complainant and me, e.g. the secret support for XY against me in court, the planning and execution of the exclusion of the complainant from the Göttingen DVNLP event and the prevention of a conversation between the complainant and the board, the planning and execution of the character assassination during the 2014 general meeting, and apparently also the placement of incorrect statements defaming the complainant and myself with the police²³.

Board of Directors assists the perpetrators

The synchronisation of the perpetrator energies within the DVNLP, which are highly unhealthy for the complainant and for me, with those of the perpetrator system outside the association, which, according to her, is addressable via XY, continued²⁴

²³ At the time when Petra P. gave incorrect information to the police (see the entry of 25 June 2014 in the "*Dossier Täter-Opfers-Victim-Reversal*"), Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Petra P. provided each other with information on the development of the multiple conflict about XY, the complainant and the former Master's students, among whom were the complainant, her conflict partner Petra P., Master's Course Assistant XY and other participants.

²⁴ A DVNLP investigative committee should ask Dr. jur. Jens Tomas why in 2014 he was so emotionally involved in the conflicts between XY, the master participants and the complainant

with the loss of neutrality of the executive board, which has been evident since the beginning of 2014. This culminated in the DVNLP chairman, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, in the presumption of judicial powers, declaring the complaining member of the association guilty of malicious accusation and supporting the other member of the association, XY, in court, using his office to accuse the complaining member of defamation.

With these "friendly fire" attacks by the DVNLP against the complainant, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas officially supported an attack by the paedocriminal perpetrator system, which had been started outside the association and of which he and his association lawyer were aware: Dr. jur. In April 2014, without informing the complainant and myself, Jens Tomas and his board of directors requested access to the files of the LKA and the StA Hamburg through the lawyer of the association and therefore had already become aware in mid-2014, two years before the complainant and myself, that there had been an illegal manipulation of²⁵ official communication between the Social Psychiatric Service and the LKA, in the course of which defamatory and above all false information about the complainant and myself had been passed on to the LKA, on the basis of which the LKA was then informed of several and possibly even more serious cases of abuse. a. did not investigate charges brought against DVNLP members, but instead increasingly pathologised the complainant in a number of memos in the LKA and the StA.

Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and the association's lawyer did not pass this knowledge on to us, the DVNLP association members threatened by this criminal manipulation in the background of the authorities.²⁶ The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors and

instead of referring them to the arbitration committee for referral. In particular, he should explain why he protected the reported XY and prejudge the complainant. The board could have simply made a statement that as long as there are no court decisions, the presumption of innocence applies to *both* members involved in conflicts within the association - and then reject any comment beyond that.

²⁵ As a result of this verifiable criminal intervention, a new criminal record was created on 24.01.2014 a note from the LKA, the pathologising stigmatisation of which is virally spread by the complainant via several investigation and file notes from a police station, the LKA and the StA - up to the defamatory communication of a Hamburg public prosecutor (written not after a conversation with the complainant, who has not yet been heard about her charges, but after an insight into the manipulated file) to the relevant judge of the Hamburg Regional Court on 1 January 2014.06.2016 that "*the accusations made by the witness in the civil proceedings (XY./Stahl) ... [the complainant] are groundless and the witness is a mentally ill woman. See the "dossier on victim-offender conversion". A disciplinary complaint concerning this criminal manipulation has so far been only partially successful and is still pending.*

²⁶ They probably did not do so because the psychopathologizations of our persons in the LKA corresponded according to their logic exactly to those with which my psychologist colleague Martina Schmidt-Tanger defamed the complainant and me, uncorrected by the board, on 30 May 2014 in the mail distribution list of the extended board. She did not write - not as a psychologist with a court order for an expert opinion, but as a highly entangled DVNLP conflict partner of the complainant - to our colleagues in the association that I was "*in a parallel universe with a psychologically disoriented partner*".

Martina Schmidt-Tanger left us in a kind of Kafkaesque threat, which consisted of the fact that the LKA and the StA made no attempt for many months to investigate against several reported pedocriminals and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas simultaneously reproached the complainant and myself on several occasions that the police would "not investigate" - while he was exchanging information in the background with XY and his lawyer, who had been charged as an accomplice, for their joint campaign of slander against us, and together with the association's lawyer had already initiated the exclusion proceedings against us.

Previously, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, a member of the Education and Training Commission, and the chairperson, had treated XY and the complainant with double standards when they defined a basically identical offence - issuing (allegedly forced under violent coercion) on the one hand and accepting and submitting a false certificate for hours completed in adult education on the other hand - as a reason for exclusion from the association in the case of the complainant and as a minor administrative offence not to be mentioned further in the case of XY. This procedure can certainly be seen as a metaphor or analogy for the double standards of the leadership of this DVNLP, which has meanwhile arrived in the middle of bourgeois society: XY's role-mixed "therapist-trainer-pimp-coach-freelancer"-acting in DVNLP training and coaching contexts, as described in the suppressed complaint reports, is considered a trivial offence and the ethically less questionable, role-complementary behaviour of the complainant as (the reason behind the allegedly actual) reason for exclusion due to the power-asymmetrical relationship to XY as his client and as a course participant.

Chairman "faked"²⁷ official association document

The Board invited the complainant out of a discussion planned with her and myself on the occasion of the Göttingen "Future Tools" event with Lukas Derks at the beginning of June 2014. In order to ensure that the complainant would not attempt to bring about the planned meeting with the board of directors and myself in the Göttingen conference hotel, despite the explicit invitation to visit her sent to her by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas via Thies Stahl on 30 May 2014, the board of directors had the DVNLP association lawyer send the complainant an official letter from the association on the same day, in which the lawyer pathologised and criminalised the complainant.²⁸

²⁷ He or she "factualises" it, or has "faked" it, i.e. *"imitated it with fraudulent intent and passed it off as genuine"* (cf. Duden).

²⁸ The association's lawyer pathologized the complainant with the help of a quotation from a letter from the complainant to the LKA, which had been deliberately and knowingly falsified by the chairman of the board, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, by combining this falsification with the attribution defaming the complainant that she did not have the *"psychological stability"* necessary to participate in a seminar with Lukas Derks. With the precautionary added note, *"Should you, contrary to expectations, nevertheless want to arrive and participate, I would like to point out already now that we will make use of our domiciliary right in the conference rooms"*, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas had the DVNLP lawyer officially criminalize the complainant, a member of the association.

Dr. jur. Jens Tomas thus abused the power of his office to exclude the complainant within the association and to silence her.²⁹ A DVNLP investigative committee should clarify whether he did this because she had reported his "NLP-professional" employer Martina Schmidt-Tanger and another "NLP-professional" colleague shortly before.

The letter of pathology of the DVNLP "faked" by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, at least the LKA and the public prosecutor's office have taken note and taken on file with thanks in the course of their correspondence with the DVNLP association's lawyer, about which the complainant and I were not informed at all. With this letter, the DVNLP provided the LKA not only with the false information that pathologized the complainant and was illegally transmitted to the LKA via the Social Psychiatric Service, but also with the file and investigation notes that continue this initial pathologization—a further argument for not having to investigate against the persons reported by the complainant as members of a pedocriminal perpetrator system. The LKA has also refrained from searching the house for child and violent pornographic material based on her own 38 years of painful experience with specific who, where and when information.

With his official DVNLP fake letter, the chairman, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, effectively supported the pathologizing and psychiatric attack against the complainant, which had already been carried out from the pedocriminal background system of the complainant. Whether he, together with his board of directors, did so knowingly should be clarified by a DVNLP investigative committee. In any event, it appears to be a fact that Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and the Board of Directors deliberately decided to use the combined legal expertise of the Dr. jur. chairman and the lawyer of the association not for but *against* the complainant. At this level of synchronisation, the board of directors perfidiously stabbed a member of the association with its two DVNLP lawyers in the back - a member whom it should actually have protected from a psychiatric attack by a manipulated authority, which was immediately recognisable by lawyers as illegally triggered.

Executive Board abuses trust - synchronisation through retraumatisation

A further level of synchronisation of basic patterns of action directed against the complainant consists in a retraumatising abuse of the complainant's trust. This is above all due to the fact that the Board of Directors neither adequately protected nor in any way appreciated the fair outing of the complainant with regard to an internal conflict mediation with her complainants, including in DVNLP training contexts as a social worker/pedagogue trained in a very special group work and at the same time working as a whore, escort, swinger and sex (performance) trainer

²⁹ The complainant opposed this treatment by publishing a 130-page documentation of this exclusion. Due to an unfortunate inadvertence, a page with the names of the displayed association members was unfortunately included.

and coach.³⁰ The latter should have been done by the board of directors creating a protected communicative space within the association, in which this outing in the context of their complaints could have been handled carefully and appropriately - and above all: remaining within the association - both personally and personally, as well as formally in relation to the committees, e.g. by enabling the statutory referral to the competent association committees, the training and further training commission and the arbitration commission. All of this was criminally neglected or illegally prevented by the Management Board.

Not only did the board of directors within the association not handle the outing of the complainant with care, but instead it deliberately turned it against her in an unfavourable synchronisation with the external offender system. Thus, the chairman of the board, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, together with Martina Schmidt-Tanger, accused the complainant that, on the basis of her complaints submitted via the office and substantiated in substance, "*the whole association*", together with the DVNLP staff there, now ³¹knew about her accusations, including details, for example, of her childhood and her awkward current life situation, which were "*unreasonably burdensome*" for the "*staff of the office*".

Dr. jur. Jens Tomas did not comment on the conflicts in the association himself, by means of a statement by the board of directors that was commensurate with the seriousness of the matter, but allowed the "Hallig-Oland" managing director³² of the DVNLP, Berend Hendricks, to announce brashly and defamatory to the complainant via the social media that there had been "no abuse" in a DVNLP-certified seminar - whereby both knew, that the complainant's accusations of abuse against some of its members within the DVNLP had long since been circulating, as had the outing of the complainant that she had appeared in part sexually provocative at DVNLP seminars

³⁰ Having grown up in a family-commercial paedocriminal offender system, the complainant was a child from her 2nd to 38th years of age. From the age of 18, as a child, teenager and adult sex worker and sex and desire coach, she learned to deal with the aggressions, inner conflicts and inhibitions of her male *and female* clients, to protect her own boundaries, to perceive the developmental needs of her clients and to involve them as a coach in a quasi-therapeutic way by creating and using opportunities for the integration of her clients' dissociations. Participants of DVNLP-certified seminars and also DVNLP teaching trainers wanted to learn in special coaching sessions with her, according to her reports suppressed in the association, to become more resilient, assertive and above all more enjoyable in general privately, but also in "Swinger", "Gang Bang" or similar activities. - With her departure from the offender system, the complainant stopped all prostitution and prostitution-like activities in 2011.

³¹ Of course, it would have been the executive function of the chairman, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, to urge secrecy in the excited office - instead of reprimanding the complainant for submitting her complaint to the office, as explicitly provided for in the articles of association.

³² I had reported about the "man for the rough" in the association, the Wikipedia vandals "halligoland": *Are you "halligoland", Mr DVNLP managing director Berend Henriks?*

and had allegedly been forced by DVNLP members to prostitute herself for them in an abuse of power, and in DVNLP training contexts.³³

The synchronisation with the objective of the DVNLP-external perpetrator system then consisted in excluding the complainant from any communication within DVNLP and at the same time defaming her officially and by unfair means as untrustworthy and psychologically disturbed - which the board of directors succeeded in doing at the 2014 general meeting, which it manipulated and deceived, with the help of pathologisation and labelling of her person as untrustworthy and somehow sexually disturbed or abnormally acting false accuser, which was officially carried out by the association. Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger, together with the board of directors and the board of trustees, could then assume that the complainant would in any case retain the stigma of "whore" through this labelling, without the association's management having or having had to create it expressly itself.

The management of the association could continue to assume that the stigmatisation process within the association, which had arisen as a result of the complainant's courageous outing, but which had been abused by the board of directors, would become self-evident: The DVNLP then only had to continue to deny consistently that there had been any abuse in training and coaching contexts, and certainly not one in which any kind of coercion or prostitution in any form involving DVNLP members had played a role. In this³⁴ way, it would probably be possible to conclude the question of abuse in the association, which has meanwhile become public both within and outside the DVNLP, with the association leadership presenting two guilty parties: An implausible false accuser and her advocate, once an authority in the association, but today unfortunately "*suffering from disorders*"³⁵.

Through the members' meeting deception of hiding member XY and concealing the particularities of DVNLP member XY's relationship with his psychotherapy and coaching client, the complainant, which were diametrically opposed to the Association's ethical guidelines, the Board of Directors succeeded in ensuring that the labels underlying her stigmatization were excluded from any communication

³³ When a DVNLP investigative committee takes note of the reports and three extensive books of biographical notes of the complainant, it will be surprised at the parallels between the events of 1986, when Corine Christensen was murdered in the presence of Richard Bandler, who, as his coke supplier, is said to have been looking after his VIP clients as prostitutes for him, among others. See: "*Violence, abuse, double standards and the return of the repressed in the DVNLP*".

³⁴ Dr. jur. Jens Tomas has seriously demanded a cease-and-desist declaration from me through the DVNLP association lawyer, not to claim any more that there had been an abuse in a DVNLP seminar and also not to claim that someone had prostituted himself in such a seminar.

³⁵ In a DVNLP statement to the SPIEGEL it says: "*Thies Stahl belongs to the outstanding personalities of NLP. The board is all the more shocked by the depths to which Mr. Stahl has gone to save the honour of his life companion...*" The DVNLP forgot to mention which of the injustices and misdemeanours committed against them by the DVNLP made my rescue attempts necessary.

within the Association that might potentially correct them: The stigma "whore" would stick to the complainant like bad luck, especially if the Board of Management were to persevere in completely and consistently breaking off all communication with her - which it did by means of several violations of the Statutes. Why should even the leading association officials take the risk of still talking to the complainant, who has become very dangerous for some of them due to their own misconduct, when she could be "tarred and feathered" in such an effortless³⁶ and sustainable way? This sustainable and final *solution of* a multiple conflict in the DVNLP by elimination, in which obviously quite a few DVNLP members have become guilty and complicit, has presumably very much corresponded to the ideas of some as "roped party" among the newly appointed DVNLP honorary members, honorary laureates and their laudators of quite recognizable persons³⁷ about how the DVNLP as a lobby association for abusers should best secure their personal and business well-being and at the expense of others.

Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his board of directors therefore only had to let the complaining member of the association run into her own knife in this way - whereby "knife" metaphorically stands for the "sharp" accusations she made in the association and in her advertisements: Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger knew very well that there was a great risk for the complainant to injure herself in the sharp accusations, which were also brought forward in the form of a criminal complaint, because she possibly did not have sufficient legally binding evidence and witnesses for the reported crimes of male and female DVNLP members, fellow participants* in the master course at that time and other persons from her former and present network of violent relationships.

With her complaint in the association, the complainant wanted to defend herself against one of the alleged perpetrators of violence in the DVNLP, but in full confidence in a DVNLP leadership that would support and protect her and deal appropriately with the sensitive issues of her conflicts in the association. Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas successfully turned this attempt by the complainant in the Association against her: Slander, as a form of perpetrator-victim-reversion, is attempted soul murder, committed by the DVNLP quasi in unison with the background chorus of suspected pedocriminal offenders inside and outside the association.

³⁶ Knowing from the association's lawyer's inspection of the files that there had already been a criminally initiated psychiatric campaign against her in the LKA, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his board of directors informed the complainant with his legal DVNLP fake letter (see in "*Dossier Täter-Opfer-Repatriation*" the entry of 30 September 2006 in the "*Dossier Täter-Opfer-Repatriation*").05.2014) officially slandered as psychologically unstable by the association, i.e. he "utilised" the perpetrator-victim-reversion process started there and thus stabilised it and synchronised it with the one taking place within the DVNLP.

³⁷ Details in "*My beautiful delinquent German Association! DVNLP completes perpetrator-victim-reversion*".

DVNLP dirty supplies the perpetrators with clean character witnesses

The pedocriminal background perpetrator system received another very effective support, which was hidden from the public, when the chairman of the board, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, the member of the DVNLP training commission, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, the speaker of the DVNLP regional group Schleswig-Holstein/Hamburg, Petra P., today's DVNLP honorary member Cora Besser-Siegmund and today's honorary prize winner Stephan Landsiedel provided the notified addressee of the complaint, XY, as "character witnesses" for the slander campaign which had been conducted against me and the complainant in court.³⁸

This support of the background perpetrator system continues, because neither has the present executive board officially withdrawn the official association letter of 30.05.2014 as a fake letter, which was presented to the LKA, nor has it distanced itself from the support of the reported XY, which is contrary to the statutes and seems rather criminal, by its present *honorary members* Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Cora Besser-Siegmund, as well as by its present *honorary prize winner* Stephan Landsiedel and the DVNLP functionary Petra P..

Synchronization of the members

The *final solution* of the abuse and prostitution issue in the DVNLP, which threatens the DVNLP Code of Ethics as insufficient, could only be achieved if Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his mind would manage the feat of mobilizing the entire membership of the DVNLP for the perpetrator-victim-reversion process in the association - which they managed to do in October 2014 in the general meeting of members, which was manipulated and deceived by the board with the help of Petra P. and Anita von Hertel.

While Petra P. took over a stage role together with AK with a probably not insignificant part of the slanderous text, Anita von Hertel made her essential contribution by omission. She made herself available as an innocent "vote-counting girl" to the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors, who deliberately betrayed this meeting - in full knowledge of the manipulation and deception that was taking place. From a "one-party mediation session" with me, she was aware that a multi-person conflict was presented in a deliberately and extremely misleadingly reduced manner to the members present - in favour of XY, who was hidden from the members, and to the disadvantage of the complainant. The mediator Anita von Hertel should have rejected the working session with me as being professionally unethical, since as a member of an association she cannot mediate a multi-person conflict between other members and her board of directors - especially not one in which she herself is highly entangled through her relationship with the complainant.

As DVNLP mediation expert Anita von Hertel has thus contributed to the fact that in DVNLP mediation was successfully prevented by a physical! violent removal of one of

³⁸ See footnote #14.

the mediation parties. As a lawyer and mediator, she is partly responsible for ensuring that the DVNLP board of directors can exercise self-justice with impunity and undermine human rights. The "audiatur et altera pars", which is already a matter of course for lawyers, let alone mediators, no longer applies in the DVNLP, since their silence and their failure to provide assistance at the 2014 general meeting: The DVNLP members, who have been informed by me on several occasions, have forfeited their right to be heard in this association by their silence as looking-away followers.

The DVNLP functionaries and members working together in the general meeting on the goal of exclusion obviously succeeded in a complex hypnotic regression of the DVNLP members present, a kind of mass hypnotic influence on the (small but by no means "critical mass" of) about 80 DVNLP members present in this general meeting. In some aspects I have already described this manipulation and deception masterstroke by the professionals of hypnotic language³⁹, Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, using analogies from the Nazi and Stasi era.⁴⁰

Apart from the regression back into socially conditioned modes of experience and action of past dark times in Germany, there were probably two further destinations of this "time travel" for the members present, artistically induced by Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas in a group hypnotic way, in order to achieve their synchronisation and make them accomplices or at least followers. For these time travels there was certainly no consciously hypnotic design, but their virtual destinations can be determined by the processes and phenomena in the 2014 general meeting on the basis of the analogies needed for an in-depth adequate description of the impoverished and violent communication in the DVNLP: In addition to the analogies from the two dark phases of recent German history, there is probably a need for analogies from darkest episodes of the Middle Ages in Europe and more recent times in the southern states of the USA.

Regression into the Middle Ages: DVNLP banishes witches and heretics

Today's DVNLP honorary members Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas have made it⁴¹- as another multi-person perpetrator system in DVNLP in cooperation with the spokespersons of the DVNLP Mediation Section and the DVNLP Regional

³⁹ A committee of inquiry should ask some of the DVNLP members present, and especially the members of the Board of Trustees, whether they have noticed (in retrospect!), and if so, which of the "Milton" patterns. (See: Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Jens Tomas, "MILTON! Linguistic brilliance for professional communicators. Practical Training 'Hypnotic Speech Patterns'", Junfermann Verlag.

⁴⁰ See footnotes #10 and #11.

⁴¹ To what extent the rest of the DVNLP board and the board of trustees of the DVNLP were manipulated by Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas with the help of false representations into participating in the manipulation of general meetings and the public of the association should be clarified by an internal investigation committee.

Group Schleswig-Holstein, Anita von Hertel and Petra P., as well as another conflict-involved DVNLP member - to call the complainant in her enforced absence as a quasi sex-obsessed, falsely accusing witch in the ⁴²general meeting deceived and manipulated by the board of directors and then to excommunicate her together with me, her advocate, who is quasi forfeited and spreading heretical theses, not to burn her, but to banish her, i.e. to excommunicate her by exclusion from the association.

The contents of the complainant's accusations and the actual conflicts and conflict partners were concealed from⁴³the DVNLP members in this general meeting, which resembled a medieval witch and heretic tribunal⁴⁴, as well as my theoretically and ethically justified motions to the general meeting on the internal handling of sexual and power abuses, which were formulated for the first time in the association⁴⁵. The board of directors "cashed in" them because they contained ideas and suggestions that would have disturbed the marketing system DVNLP and the power and privilege structure of this association and its leadership, especially probably the "NLP-professional" trainer Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas. Since my preliminary work was obviously experienced as heretical, i.e. threatening, by the DVNLP leadership, which was thinking for the "common people", they had to be removed and disposed of together with the witch and the heretic - and this immediately,⁴⁶before the people of the association, which had been kept unaware of

⁴² In its *ruling on the temporary injunction*, the Hamburg Regional Court (Landgericht) points out that the claim that the Board of Management manipulated and deceived the 2014 General Meeting is admissible "if only because the respondent [=Thies Stahl] was excluded from the General Meeting. ... Due to this illegal procedure the defendant [=Thies Stahl] was not able to represent his position in the general meeting".

⁴³ The Hamburg Regional Court states: "... it can be seen that at that general meeting at the end of October 2014, the conflict taken up by the respondent in connection with the accusations of ... [the complainant] was addressed, but that at least one name ... [XY] was not mentioned at all, although it played a decisive role in that conflict. The suppression of this information alone would already bear the attacked accusation [of deception and manipulation of the MV].

⁴⁴ The "witch" and the "heretic" were condemned in absentia after both were removed from the room in a humiliating and dull violent manner in front of the assembled members, despite existing membership rights.

⁴⁵ These particularly concerned cases in which "things are not going well" in power-asymmetrical relationships, i.e. in which or at the end of which one of the parties involved claims damages. I suggested that DVNLP members should recognise § 174c StGB ("Sexual Abuse Taking Advantage of a Counselling, Treatment or Care Relationship") as a voluntary and binding commitment for their work as trainers, coaches and (HP)psychotherapists. This paragraph is currently applied strictly in the case of licensed psychotherapists and less strictly or not at all in the case of (HP) psychotherapists or coaches. Compare: "1st Suppressed Motion (Steel) for DVNLP General Assembly 2014", 2nd MV Motion Steel and MV Motions Steel #3 to #5.

⁴⁶ As in a medieval inquisition tribunal, in the summer of 2014 and afterwards, even after several urgent requests, we were not informed by the board of directors which members had denounced us and in what way and demanded our expulsion.

my confiscated MV applications, would have wanted to discuss "perhaps still" the heretical and taboo-breaking theses contained in them.

Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Jens Tomas made it very clear that, unlike me, they were not prepared to discuss in the association what their own experiences with power asymmetric relationships, both individually and as a couple, were and what could be learned from them for complaint management in the DVNLP. They preferred to have the complainant and me expelled from the DVNLP "community", which was kept in deep ignorance, in a night and fog action, while completely refraining from any communication that went beyond sneaky lawyer tricks and formal legal finesses.

"Lynch mob" regression of the general meeting

As a further analogy for the description of the incidents in the DVNLP, that of lynch mobbing came to mind - for the first time when I imagined how the five security guards, the board member Sebastian Mauritz and other excitedly aggressive staff and helpers of the association management "executed" the complainant and me from the meeting hall with brutal force. How did the DVNLP members who participated and the DVNLP members who watched experience this scene?⁴⁷

The analogy of lynching is, of course, exaggerated here - perhaps less so than that of vigilante justice - as is the analogy from the time of the Inquisition, for we were *only* expelled by force and neither burned nor hanged. After all, we were only called out and not murdered. But these analogies reflect something of the creepy and violent energy with which the DVNLP members, who were lied to and stimulated by their leadership, were actually and in all seriousness ready to "solve" a multi-layered and central NLP development issues touching conflict by eliminating people and burning texts.

Sustainable synchronisation through "final declaration"

The perpetrator system in the background may have experienced the excommunication of the complainant as an effective support in relation to its own efforts to get rid of the complainant who had testified as a leniency applicant against its members. Also the dishonouring of the initiator, founding board member and honorary member of the DVNLP, i.e. of me, by denigrating statements of the board and by his mendacious final declaration⁴⁸ might have been very helpful to the perpetrator system in this effort, since this formerly respected "grey eminence" in this large further education association had stood up for the rights and the recognition of their credibility.

⁴⁷ The complainant was pulled out of the room by her hair and I, with a painfully twisted arm on my back, called back, asking for someone to record that we were forcibly removed from the meeting despite having membership rights.

⁴⁸ See footnote #2 and #3.

The defamations and pathologizations of the complainant and of myself, which were passed on virally in the LKA and StA notes,⁴⁹ have the same basic structure as the defamatory attributions of pathologizing "diagnoses" to the complainant and to myself by the senior member of the Training and Further Training Commission, Martina Schmidt-Tanger⁵⁰, and by the spokeswoman of the DVNLP regional group Schleswig-Holstein/Hamburg, Petra P.⁵¹. The structure of the defamations and denunciations of these two DVNLP women who are highly conflictual with the complainant - one was a participant of the complainant in my DVNLP Master Seminar 2010/11 and the other her DVNLP coaching instructors- follow the same construction principle as in the reports of the complainant quoted statements from the pedocriminal offender system, as if - by analogy - all the persons concerned inside and outside the DVNLP were part of a synchronized "morphogenetic field".

Whether at all, and if so, what agreements there were between XY and his co-advocate lawyer with their helper system in the DVNLP, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Petra P. and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, to denounce the complainant with the help of structurally identical pathologizing and defamatory attributions and "diagnoses", was to be investigated by a committee of inquiry. Above all, it was intended to clarify what was ultimately at stake in the conflicts between the DVNLP women and the complainant, which were carried out with great personal and emotional commitment and so mercilessly.

The fact is that these two DVNLP women Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Petra P. are trying to declare the complainant "crazy" - demonstrably, seriously and in violation of her personal rights. They did so structurally in the same way as the paedocriminal perpetrators have been trying for decades in the background of the complainant and have threatened her again and again: Even as a child, the threat of psychiatry, in addition to death threats against her (and then her children), was a tried and tested means of preventing her from talking about what the perpetrators over the decades did not want her to talk about at all. Today the DVNLP perpetrators want this just as little as the pedocriminal perpetrator system can want it.

Criminal psychiatrization - co-powerd by DVNLP

Thanks to Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Cora Besser-Siegmund, Petra P., Anita von Hertel, XY, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Stephan Landsiedel, the DVNLP, which was

⁴⁹ See footnote #25.

⁵⁰ See footnote #26.

⁵¹ Petra P. (deliberately?), just like Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and presumably via XY and his lawyer who was charged as an accomplice, played into the hands of the paedocriminal background perpetrator system of the complainant: Both publicly used and distorted the meaning of information against me and the complainant that was only known in this system - Petra P. (see "*Dossier on Victim-Victim-Return*") on 26 May 2014 to the police, combined with misleading inaccurate statements, and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas on 30 May 2014 in an e-mail to the extended board distribution list (see *DVNLP - the Chronology*).

synchronised with the paedocriminal offender system in what was probably a common interest in the sustained elimination of the complainant, was also involved in an almost successful, final attempt to psychiatrise this offender system as a result of and in the course of this synchronisation: In the ongoing proceedings between the public prosecutor's office and the complainant⁵² on account of alleged libel against XY, there is now the expert opinion of a psychiatrist who is either psychologically and psychiatrically incompetent on a very low level that can hardly be described, or who is highly competent, to the delight of the perpetrators, in only pretending to have written a nostalgic and stupid expert opinion, one of the kind that - highly toxic - is unfortunately still occasionally used in court today.

I have written a communication-theoretical and hypnosis-linguistic analysis of this in both cases extremely perpetrator-oriented expertise.⁵³ Although this essay should be exciting to read for NLPers, it unfortunately cannot be used to prove that it is either an incompetent "real" or a competently "revised" expert opinion. The complainant and I have decided to make my analysis of this amazing product of a psychiatric mind that is either confused-overwhelmed or inhumanly perpetrator-oriented publicly available.

In both cases, this "expert opinion" is a nasty attack on the complainant and myself, for which the DVNLP is partly responsible. Martina Schmidt-Tanger will recognize⁵⁴ in it the hypothesis of a "Folie á deux" madness, which she had put into the world and which has since been removed from the report after an intervention by my lawyer, and Petra P. will be surprised at the enormous effect that the poison of her pathologization of the complainant, mixed with a false statement to the police concerning the complainant and myself, has in this report.⁵⁵

Can the DVNLP still be saved?

So far the DVNLP members, whom I had informed several times by mail about the events in the association, apparently saw little or no need for action. At least they have not requested a committee of inquiry at the last two general meetings, as I did in 2014 - along with six other members whose respective motions the board of directors, also abusing its power, has collected.⁵⁶

⁵² This procedure would not have existed if the DVNLP had made a serious effort to mediate the conflict between the two members of the Union, the complainant and XY. In order to make this possible, the complainant had specifically lodged her complaint against XY (see *Causa DVNLP - the chronology*).

⁵³ Here is my analysis of communication theory and hypnotic language "*Psychiatric expertise - a gift for the pedocriminal offender system and the DVNLP*".

⁵⁴ See footnote #26.

⁵⁵ See footnote #23 and 51.

⁵⁶ See the documentation *Causa DVNLP - the chronology*.

It is to be hoped that the DVNLP members, after having taken note of this article and the legally binding judgements that have meanwhile been handed down, will renew their association, which is not unimportant for a prosperous development of the NLP, by setting up a committee of inquiry. After I have refrained from suing the DVNLP for a repetition of its invalid general meeting at the end of 2014, trusting in a functioning arbitration commission, a committee of inquiry is probably the only possibility of a metanoia for the association, a genuine conversion, which in a new action actively builds on a constructive handling of the guilt accumulated in the DVNLP: At a minimum, the DVNLP must cooperate in⁵⁷ correcting the files which are partly the fault of the LKA and the StA and which endanger the complainant and myself, publicly assume responsibility for the pathologizations of the complainant and myself, and publicly apologize.

Questions for a DVNLP investigative committee

A committee of inquiry would have to ask a lot of questions of all parties involved. If its members have read my texts and the "Causa DVNLP" documentations⁵⁸, there should be no lack of suitable questions that could shed some more light on the dark motives behind these amazing events in DVNLP.

Probably one of the most interesting open questions for many DVNLP members is this one: What prompted such experienced and successful DVNLP instructors like the two psychologists and today's honorary members Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Cora Besser-Siegmund, with the help of the no less successful DVNLP instructor Anita von Hertel, as well as the DVNLP instructor Petra P.-support all four former Thies Stahl female students-a admittedly unethical male DVNLP member, XY⁵⁹, who has been charged with rape and pimping, in hiding from the association public against another association member? Against a woman who makes serious accusations against this male member for criminal acts against her sexual integrity and self-determination? What was it that caused these women and exposed DVNLP members to stab a female member in the back like this, a woman whose abuse complaints were not heard and violently suppressed in the joint association?

This question is probably more difficult to answer for most DVNLP members than the one based on the motives of the six *male* complaint addressees from the years 2004 to 2011, who as DVNLP instructors, DVNLP coaches or psychotherapists are confronted with accusations of crimes against the sexual self-determination of their participants or clients. Also probably more difficult than the question as to the motive of Stephan Landsiedel, why he secretly supported the reported XY in court

⁵⁷ See footnote #25 and #26.

⁵⁸ See footnote #3 and #9.

⁵⁹ XY is also a member and teaching trainer of the "Society for Neurolinguistic Coaching e.V.". (GNLC), an association newly founded by DVNLP honorary member, the Wing-Wave founder Cora Besser-Siegmund.

with his certificate fraud to the detriment of the complainant, which was obviously approved by the association's leadership. But perhaps less difficult than the "NLP-professional" trainer Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, who protected his "NLP-professional" employer Martina Schmidt-Tanger and her common "NLP-professional" trainer colleagues, who were also involved in the "DVNLP cause" conflicts, from hardship with his unethical actions.

But how did the DVNLP come to such *violence by women* against a woman? How could it happen that four DVNLP instructors and functionaries of the DVNLP became so highly emotionalized with the complainant and became so entangled in fierce conflicts that they felt compelled to participate in their complete disenfranchisement and "disposal" as members of the association in such an insidious and merciless way? And how could it happen in this association that two of these DVNLP-women who had fallen from their offices and roles were decorated as heroines with the DVNLP honorary membership despite this murderous struggle?

What would have happened if these four women, together with the other perpetrators in the DVNLP, had not managed to eliminate the complainant from the association? The answer is simple: The complainant's reports, which are kept under lock and key in the association, would have become public - especially those dealing with power and sexual abuse by DVNLP instructors. According to these reports, both male and female DVNLP members are responsible for misconduct and crimes against sexual self-determination (§ 174c StGB), committed in the course of unethical mixing of their roles and offices as DVNLP trainer/coach/psychotherapist/functionalist with the role of sex client, suitor or pimp.

The DVNLP was obviously able to deal with complaints of "male" abuse, as the events of the "DVNLP case" have shown: He does it, tried and tested, like the Catholic Church, which holds its protective hand over those who have strayed from the right path and hides sinners in its bosom. Or just "normally perpetrator-oriented", as for instance in sports or other clubs, in which a complainant is sometimes secretly cleaned and disposed of from the scene, into which the honourable gentleman "stumbled in by mistake" - whereby the perpetrators are shoulder-slappingly confirmed in their affiliation, as *gentlemen*, whose *offence* is then again and again gladly taken as the basis for sexist-stupid, "double-moral" jokes in humid, cheerful male company.

And so it would have been just acceptable for the board of directors to have complaints against *male* DVNLP-members treated by the responsible association committees within the association, with the risk that crimes against sexual self-determination become public as misconduct of DVNLP instructors and coaches towards their participants and clients. This would certainly have damaged the reputation of the (DV)NLP, but it would also have remained within the framework of the socially normal, in that one - and probably also women - finally learned to accept a world view in which there is something like quasi natural "collateral damage" of male drive control.

However, if a committee of inquiry were to confirm the factuality of "female" abuses in the DVNLP, this would certainly trigger a very difficult discussion for this

association. The DVNLP, representing the most advanced psycho-method, but considered by many as too manipulative-hedonistic, would have to ethically and theoretically deal with many NLP-method-immanent topics behind these abuse questions. These issues could - and this was possibly the panic imagination of the DVNLP women chasing the complainant from court - possibly impose themselves on the DVNLP on a new level in the form of a loss of image of the NLP: Future DVNLP-clients and DVNLP-trainees could, if it became known that DVNLP-teachers and -users also commit sexual and power abuses, more easily suspect that this is due to the NLP method.

In a situation in which the male-animalistic instinct, which is probably the most frequently used explanation for sexual and power abuse, can be more or less consciously reckoned with by those interested in seminars and coaching, following a general understanding, in all psycho methods, and the notion of instinctive female violations of sexual self-determination is not yet very widespread, female abuses (directed against participants and clients) in the DVNLP could give rise to questions that are collectively suppressed in this association - e.g.B. whether there is anything violent at and in NLP, which under certain contextual conditions and *independent of the gender of the NLP users*⁶⁰ can come to "pre-pig" as a need for power and submission.

Many NLP colleagues in the DVNLP would certainly experience this as a catastrophe, especially those who, like Martina Schmidt-Tanger, for example, want to prevent the DVNLP from finally dealing with the taboo of murder involvement and the affinity for violence of the NLP co-founder Richards Bandler.⁶¹

A committee of inquiry would have many questions about this. It would probably make sense if it were also and especially addressed to the various women's networks in the DVNLP, and thus of course especially to the above-mentioned four DVNLP functionaries who have become perpetrators and accomplices in the victim-victim-reversion process in their association. An investigation could also help to clarify whether it was more a concern of the women in the association to prevent the DVNLP from publishing the content of complaints about the abuse of female sexual authority, roles and power. If so, it would be good if they were asked why they were prepared to accept breaches of statute and law in the DVNLP in order to achieve this goal and to help ensure that the complainant was first silenced and then excluded by evil stigmatisation and pathologisation in the association. They should also be asked whether they were aware that they had accepted the fact that they had thus

⁶⁰ At this point, this Freudian slip, commented by Freud himself, actually came to my mind as the right word, as a kind of preview, uh, preview of the discussion necessary for the development of NLP in the DVNLP, which hopefully will be held in the DVNLP... :-)

⁶¹ See my preliminary work on this in "*Violence, Abuse, Double Morals and the Return of the Repressed in the DVNLP*".

protected not only the alleged female but also the alleged male abusers in the DVNLP from being called to account as perpetrators.

If the DVNLP members do not request and enforce a committee of inquiry so that the board of directors can continue its silence sitting out, the DVNLP has to accept that it can continue to be called "perpetrator association". The DVNLP would also have to learn to live with the name "*Protection and Lobby Association for Sexual and Power Abusing NLP Trainers and Coaches*", as it runs the risk of having set an example of what future abuse victims of DVNLP trainers and coaches will experience if they dare to lodge a complaint with the association with the maximum penalty of "loss of affiliation and elimination". And this in the current situation, in which the risk of becoming a victim of sexual and other abuses of power in the DVNLP is extremely high due to the cover-up of what actually happened.